# Electricity - Designing a Voltmeter $\chi^{2}$ testing Review 

Lecture \# 8 Physics 2BL Spring 2012

## Announcements

- Next Monday Holiday
- Office hours
- Tera - Monday 12 - 2 pm
- Me - Tues, Thur 2-3 pm
- Pick up $4^{\text {th }}$ lab in lab room by noon on Monday before final
- CAPE evaluations:
- Important for fine tuning of the course
- Making changes
- Giving feedback


## Schedule

| Meeting | Experiment |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 (Apr 2-6) | None (start Taylor) |
| 2 (Apr 9-13) | 1 |
| 3 (Apr 16-20) | 1 |
| 4 (Apr 23-27) | 2 |
| 5 (Apr 30-May4) | 2 |
| 6 (May 7-11) | 3 |
| 7 (May 14-18) | 3 |
| 8 (May 21-25) | 4 |
| 9 (May 28-June 1) | 4 |
| 10 (June 4-8) | FINAL |

## The Four Experiments

- Determine the average density of the earth
- Non-Destructive measurements of densities, structure
- Test model for damping; Construct and tune a shock absorber

Damping model based on simple assumption

- Measure coulomb force and calibrate a voltmeter.
- Examine electrical forces, parallel plate capacitor, torsional pendulum.
- Balancing forces.
- Reduce systematic errors in a precise measurement.


## Purpose

- Design a means to measure electrical voltage through force exerted on charged object


## Method

- Use Torsional pendulum
- Balance forces, balance torques


## Basic Equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F=\frac{Q_{1} Q_{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} r^{2}} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Force between point charges } \\
\text { Coulomb's low }
\end{array} \\
& \varepsilon_{0}=8.85 \times 10^{-12}
\end{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{~F}}{\mathrm{~m}} \quad \text { Permittivity constant } \quad . ~ \$
$$

$$
E=\frac{F}{Q_{2}}=\frac{Q_{1}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} r^{2}} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Electric field from a point charge } \mathrm{Q}_{1} \\
& \text { Coulomb force acting on a unit charge }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
V=\frac{1}{Q_{2}} \int_{r}^{\infty} F d r=\frac{Q_{1}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} r} \quad \text { Voltage - potential energy per unit charge }
$$

## Experiment \#4: Parallel Plate Capacitor

We suggest the use of a parallel plate capacitor rather than charged spheres

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E=\frac{Q}{A \varepsilon_{0}} \quad \text { from Gauss's Law } \\
& V=E d=\frac{Q d}{A \varepsilon_{0}} \quad \text { voltage difference }
\end{aligned}
$$


$F=\frac{1}{2} E Q=\frac{1}{2} \frac{Q^{2}}{A \varepsilon_{0}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{A \varepsilon_{0}}{d^{2}} V^{2} \quad$ the force

$$
F=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(A=3 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{0}=8.8 \times 10^{-12} \frac{\mathrm{~F}}{\mathrm{~m}}\right)}{(d=0.1 \mathrm{~cm})^{2}}(V=1000 \mathrm{~V})^{2}=1.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~N}
$$

## Calibrate Voltmeter



- Set up the apparatus.
- Keep table dry.
- Make the plates parallel for spacer in contact. •
- Measure the spacer.
- Measure $\kappa$.
- Find Voltage that just causes plates to move apart.
- Try calibration at about 1000 Volts. .
- Now get several measurements at lower voltage.
- Water must be stable.
- Move slowly.
- Protect your apparatus from air currents.
- Estimate errors


## Voltmeter Appartus



## Measure $\kappa$ using Torsional Pendulum



$$
F=\kappa \theta / l
$$

$l$ - Distance from the suspension to the disk is measured with a ruler
$\theta$ - Deflection angle is measured with a protractor

How do we measure the torsion constant $K$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Torsional oscillations } \quad T=2 \pi \sqrt{\frac{I}{\kappa}} \\
& \kappa=\left(\frac{2 \pi}{T}\right)^{2} I \quad I \text { - Moment of inertia } \\
& \varepsilon_{\kappa}=\sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{I}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \varepsilon_{T}\right)^{2}} \\
& \sigma_{\kappa}=\kappa^{*}\left(\varepsilon_{\kappa}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Moment of Inertia

Disks of radius $R$

$I=\frac{1}{3} m l^{2}+\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) l^{2}+\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) \frac{R^{2}}{4}$
You want to weigh the support beam and disks separately

## Error Propagation...

rod parallel axis disks - CM theorem

$$
\sigma_{I}=\sqrt{\left[(\delta I / \delta m) \sigma_{\mathrm{m}}\right]^{2}+\left[\left(\delta I / \delta m_{1}\right) \sigma_{\mathrm{m} 1}\right]^{2}+} \begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(\delta I / \delta m_{2}\right) \sigma_{\mathrm{m} 2}\right]^{2}+\left[(\delta I / \delta l) \sigma_{l}\right]^{2}+\left[(\delta I / \delta R) \sigma_{\mathrm{r}}\right]^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Error in Moment of Inertia <br> $$
\mathrm{I}=m\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right)^{2} / 12+m\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right)^{2 / 4}
$$ $m_{1} l_{1}^{2}+m_{2} l_{2}^{2}+\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) R^{2 / 4}$

# $\sigma_{I}=\left[(\delta I \delta m) \sigma_{\mathrm{m}}\right]^{2}+\left[\left(\delta I \delta m_{1}\right) \sigma_{\mathrm{m} 1}\right]^{2}+\left[\left(\delta I \delta m_{2}\right) \sigma_{\mathrm{m} 2}\right]^{2}$ $\left[\left(\delta I \delta l_{l}\right) \sigma_{l l}\right]^{2}+\left[\left(\delta I \delta l_{2}\right) \sigma_{l 2}\right]^{2}+\left[(\delta I / \delta R) \sigma_{\mathrm{r}}\right]^{2}$ 

$\delta I \delta m=\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right)^{2} / 12+\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right)^{2} / 4$
$\delta I \delta m_{1}=l_{1}^{2}+R^{2} / 4 \sim \delta I \delta m_{2}$
$\delta I \delta l_{1}=m\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right) / 6+m\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right) / 2+2 m_{1} l_{1} \sim \delta I \delta l_{2}$ $\delta I \delta R=1 / 2\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) R$

## Capacitor - Electrical Force



## Torsion



## Equilibrium Positions

$F=\frac{1}{2} \frac{A \varepsilon_{0}}{d^{2}} V^{2} \quad$ electrostatic attraction
Fl
torque resulting from the electrostatic force
$k \theta \quad$ torque resulting from the fiber
hold separation between the capacitor plates fixed as the voltage between them is increased by twisting the top end of the fiber

$$
V=d \sqrt{\frac{2 k \theta}{1 A \varepsilon_{0}}}
$$



## Clicker Question \# 13

Do you expect a plot of V versus $\theta$ to be linear?

$$
V=d \sqrt{2 \kappa \theta / / A \varepsilon_{0}}
$$

(A)Yes (B)No

## Error Propagation

$$
V = d \longdiv { 2 \kappa \theta / / A \varepsilon _ { 0 } }
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varepsilon_{V}=\sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{d}}\right)^{2}+\left(\varepsilon_{\kappa} / 2\right)^{2}+\left(\varepsilon_{\theta} / 2\right)^{2}+\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{A}} / 2\right)^{2}+\left(\varepsilon_{l} / 2\right)^{2}} \\
\sigma_{V}=V^{*}\left(\varepsilon_{V}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Analysis

Make a graph of your data where:
$\cdot x$-axis is the voltage read from the power supply $(600-1000 \mathrm{~V})$ -y-axis is the calculated voltage from the torsional pendulum

Fit to straight line
Calculate $\boldsymbol{\chi}^{2}$
Discuss goodness of fit
Calculate probability of result.

## $\chi^{2}$ Testing <br> (Taylor Chapter 12)

- You take $N$ measurements of some parameter $\times$ which you believe should be distributed in a certain way (e.g., based on some hypothesis).
- You divide them into $n$ bins ( $k=1,2, \ldots, n$ ) and count the number of observations that fall into each bin $\left(O_{k}\right)$.
- You also calculate the expected number of measurements ( $E_{k}$ ), in the same bins, based on some hypothesis.
- Calculate:

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(O_{k}-E_{k}\right)^{2}}{E_{k}}
$$

- If $\chi 2<\mathrm{n}$, then the agreement between the observed and expected distributions is acceptable.
- If $\chi 2 \gg n$, there is significant disagreement.


## Degrees of Freedom

- Number of degrees of freedom, $d=$ number of observations, $O_{k}$, minus the number of parameters computed from the data and used in the calculation.
- $d=n-c$,
- Where $c$ is the number of parameters that were calculated in order to compute the expected numbers, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$.
- It can be shown that the expected average value of $\chi 2$ is $d$.
- Therefore, we define "reduced chi-squared":

$$
\tilde{\chi}^{2}=\frac{\chi^{2}}{d}
$$

- If the reduced chi-squared is $<1$, there is no reason to doubt the expected distribution.


## Fitting Summary

- You have a set of measurements and a hypothesis that relates them.
- The hypothesis has some unknown parameters that you want to determine.
- You "fit" for the parameters by maximizing the odds of all measurements being consistent with your hypothesis.
- Evaluate your fit based on the goodness of fit.


## Example - Dice

Die is tossed 600 times

Expectation: each face has same likelihood of showing up


Verification of expectation by computing the $\chi^{2}$

| v | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| obs | 91 | 137 | 111 | 87 | 80 | 94 |
| $\exp$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


$\begin{array}{llllll}81 & 1369 & 121 & 169 & 400 & 36\end{array}$

This term is the squared difference $\quad \begin{array}{llllll}\chi_{i} & 0.81 & 13.7 & 1.21 & 1.69 & 4.0 \\ 0.36\end{array}$ between observation and expectation.

In computation of $\chi^{2}$ the $\Delta^{2}$ term is divided by expectation. $\sigma$ is square root of expectation
Total $\chi^{2} \quad 21.76$
$\left(E_{y}=\sigma_{y}{ }^{2}\right)$

## Application of $\chi^{2}$ - Use of Table D

Just calculated:<br>Total $\chi^{2}$<br>$\mathbf{n}_{\text {dof }}$<br>Reduced $\tilde{\chi}_{o}^{2} \quad 4.35$

|  | $\widetilde{\chi}_{0}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1.0 | 1.25 | 1.5 | 1.75 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1 | 100 | 62 | 48 | 39 | 32 | 26 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| 2 | 100 | 78 | 61 | 47 | 37 | 29 | 22 | 17 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| 3 | 100 | 86 | 68 | 52 | 39 | 29 | 21 | 15 | 11 | 3 | 0.7 | 0.2 | - |
| 5 | 100 | 94 | 78 | 59 | 42 | 28 | 19 | 12 | 8 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - |
| 10 | 100 | 99 | 89 | 68 | 44 | 25 | 13 | 6 | 3 | 0.1 |  | - | - |
| 15 | 100 | 100 | 94 | 73 | 45 | 23 | 10 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - |

Prob that
$\widetilde{\chi}_{0}^{2}>4$
chance

Die is loaded at 99.9\% Confidence Level

## $\chi^{2}$ Test for a Fit

- We have used $\chi^{2}$ minimization to fit data.
- We can also use the value of $\chi^{2}$ to determine if the data fit the hypothesis.

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(y_{i}-f\left(x_{i}\right)\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{y}^{2}}
$$

- On average, the $\chi^{2}$ value is about one per degree of freedom.

$$
\left\langle\chi^{2}\right\rangle=n_{\text {d.o.f. }}
$$

- The number of degrees of freedom is the number of measurements minus the number of fit parameters.
- We will use the $\chi^{2}$ per degree of freedom to compute a probability that the data are consistent with the hypothesis. (table D)
- This probability of $\chi^{2}$ is like the confidence

$$
n_{\text {d.o.f. }}=n_{\text {data }}-n_{\text {parameters }}
$$ level.

If $\operatorname{Prob}\left(\tilde{\chi}^{2}>\widetilde{\chi}_{0}^{2}\right)$ is less than $5 \%$ - disagreement "significant"
If $\operatorname{Prob}\left(\tilde{\chi}^{2}>\tilde{\chi}_{0}^{2}\right)$ is less than $1 \%$ - disagreement "highly significant"

## Review

Determination of errors from measurements
Two types - random (statistical) and systematic Random errors - intrinsic uncertainty (limitations)
Can be determined from multiple measurements Mean and standard deviation, standard deviation of the mean

Propagation or uncertainties through formulas Simple formula for adding two terms ( $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}$ ) Simple formula for multiplying two terms ( $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}^{*} \mathrm{c}$ ) General formula for $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})$

## Overview

Will be given basic physics equations
Need to know how to use them (labs)
Understand significant figures and how to quotes values properly

Need to know basic error propagation formulas
Need to know Gaussian distributions mean, standard deviation, standard deviation of the mean

## Overview

Know how to determine $t$-values extract probability information from those values
Understand rejection of data - Chauvenet's principle

Know how to calculate weighted averages

## Let's do an example

## Example Exam Question

You want to determine the torsional constant for the wire you used in the last experiment. You do this by measuring the period of oscillation. You make 5 measurements of $15.1 \mathrm{~s}, 13.2 \mathrm{~s}, 14.4 \mathrm{~s}$, 15.4 s and 14.6 s . What is the best value for the torsional constant $\kappa$ with the proper number of significant figures and uncertainty. You also determined the moment of inertia to be $(2420 \pm 120) \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{2}$.

## Example Solution

## (1) Draw diagram <br> (2) Identify given parameters

## Given T values and I

(3) Write the equation(s) necessary to calculate $\kappa$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Torsional oscillations } & T=2 \pi \sqrt{\frac{I}{\kappa}} \\
\kappa=\left(\frac{2 \pi}{T}\right)^{2} I & I \text { - Moment of inertia }
\end{array}
$$

(4) Calculate best value for $T$

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\text {best }}=\mathrm{T}_{\text {ave }}=14.54 \mathrm{~s}
$$

## Example Solution

 (5) Calculate uncertainty in T$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}=0.847 \mathrm{~s} \\
\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}=0.424 \mathrm{~s}=0.4 \mathrm{~s} \\
\mathrm{~T}_{\text {best }}=(14.5 \pm 0.4) \mathrm{s}
\end{gathered}
$$

(6) Calculate $\kappa$ from best values

$$
\kappa=4 \pi^{2} \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{T}^{2}=454.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}^{2}
$$

## Example Solution

(7) Calculate uncertainty for $\kappa$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{K}}=\sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{I}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \varepsilon_{T}\right)^{2}} \\
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{K}}=\sqrt{(120 / 2420)^{2}+\left(2^{*} 0.4 / 14.5\right)^{2}} \\
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{K}}=\sqrt{(.0496)^{2}+(.0552)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Most significant source of uncertainty?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{\kappa}}=.07 \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{\kappa}}=\kappa^{*}\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=30 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\kappa=(450 \pm 30) \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$

## Schedule

| Meeting | Experiment |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 (Apr 2-6) | None (start Taylor) |
| 2 (Apr 9-13) | 1 |
| 3 (Apr 16-20) | 1 |
| 4 (Apr 23-27) | 2 |
| 5 (Apr 30-May4) | 2 |
| 6 (May 7-11) | 3 |
| 7 (May 14-18) | 3 |
| 8 (May 21-25) | 4 |
| 9 (May 28-June 1) | 4 |
| 10 (June 4-8) | FINAL |

## Reminder

- Prepare for Experiment 4
- Last lecture - No more lectures
- Remember to prepare for the final which will be like an extended quiz, designed for $\sim 50$ minutes and have a higher weighting then a single lab quiz

