

UCSD



Hydroelectricity

UCSD

The Renewable Budget

NOTE:
100 Qbtu/year
= 3.35 TW




Figure 5.1 Natural energy flow (in units of power) to and from the earth. (Source: M. K. Hubbert, "Man's Conquest of Energy: Its Ecological and Human Consequences," in *The Environmental and Ecological Forum 1971-1972*. Washington D.C.: U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Publication TID-25857, 1972.)

UCSD

The Hydrologic Cycle




Figure 5.2 The hydrologic cycle. Electricity is produced in the hydroelectric plant by the action of water against a turbine connected to a generator. In this way the stored potential energy of the water in the reservoir becomes electrical energy.

Lots of energy associated with evaporation:
both mgh (4% for 10 km lift) and latent heat (96%) of water

3

UCSD

Energetics of the hydrologic cycle

- It takes energy to evaporate water: 2,444 J per gram
 - this is why “swamp coolers” work: evaporation pulls heat out of environment, making it feel cooler
 - 23% of sun’s incident energy goes into evaporation
- By contrast, raising one gram of water to the top of the troposphere (10,000 m, or 33,000 ft) takes

$$mgh = (0.001 \text{ kg}) \times (10 \text{ m/s}^2) \times (10,000 \text{ m}) = 100 \text{ J}$$
- So > 96% of the energy associated with forming clouds is the evaporation; < 4% in lifting against gravity

4

UCSD

Let it Rain

- When water condenses in clouds, it re-releases this “latent heat”
 - but this is re-radiated and is of no consequence to hydro-power
- When it rains, the gravitational potential energy is released, mostly as kinetic energy and ultimately heat
- Some *tiny* bit of gravitational potential energy remains, **IF** the rain falls on terrain (e.g., higher than sea level where it originated)
 - hydroelectric plants use this *tiny left-over* energy: it’s the energy that drives the flow of streams and rivers
 - damming up a river concentrates the potential energy in one location for easy exploitation

5

UCSD

How much of the process do we get to keep?

- According to Figure 5.1, 40×10^{15} W of solar power goes into evaporation
 - this corresponds to 1.6×10^{10} kg per second of evaporated water!
 - this is 3.5 mm per day off the ocean surface (replenished by rain)
- The gravitational potential energy given to water vapor (mostly in clouds) in the atmosphere (per second) is then:

$$mgh = (1.6 \times 10^{10} \text{ kg}) \times (10 \text{ m/s}^2) \times (2000 \text{ m}) = 3.2 \times 10^{14} \text{ J}$$
- One can calculate that we gain access to only 2.5% of the total amount (and use only 1.25%)
 - based on the 1.8% land area of the U.S. and the maximum potential of 147.7 GW as presented in Table 5.2

6

UCSD

Power of a hydroelectric dam

- Most impressive is Grand Coulee, in Washington, on Columbia River
 - 350 feet = 107 m of “head”
 - > 6,000 m³/s flow rate! (Pacific Northwest gets rain!)
 - each cubic meter of water (1000 kg) has potential energy: $mgh = (1000 \text{ kg}) \times (10 \text{ m/s}^2) \times (110 \text{ m}) = 1.1 \text{ MJ}$
 - At 6,000 m³/s, get over 6 GW of power
- Large nuclear plants are usually 1–2 GW
- 11 other dams in U.S. in 1–2 GW range
- 74 GW total hydroelectric capacity, presently

7

UCSD

Importance of Hydroelectricity




Figure 5.4 Electric energy from hydroelectric installations in the United States (solid line). The percentage of the United States electricity is shown as the dashed line. (Source: Data from *Annual Energy Review 1990* for 1950 to 1990; 1991 to 1996 from U. S. Energy Information Administration.)

8

UCSD

Pros and cons of hydroelectric

- Pros
 - No CO₂ (great for climate change)
 - Renewable
 - Cheapest electricity on the market
 - Reservoir water is used for many purposes (recreation/irrigation/ etc)
- Cons
 - Don't last forever; slit up in 50-150 years, no more electricity, but Dam has to be maintained anyway
 - Loss of river and land; salmon die, eco-systems destroyed
 - Dam bursts happen and kill thousands down stream
 - 1918-1958 33 major dam failures in U.S. killed 1680
 - 1959-1965 nine large dam failures
 - Hundreds of thousands live downstream from current dams
 - Not many more can be built in industrialized countries, so can't be big part of solution for future energy demands

9

UCSD

Question

◆ A PV cell is:

- A. Like a battery that is charged by the Sun
- B. Uses silicon, one of the most common elements on Earth
- C. Typically converts up to around 15% of the Sun's energy into electricity
- D. Takes more energy to make that it can produce over its lifetime
- E. All of the above except D

UCSD

Question

◆ Hydroelectricity is:

- A. A renewable energy source with few drawbacks except that there is not enough of it
- B. Has great potential to fill much of future U.S. energy needs
- C. Typically converts up to around 15% of the potential energy into electricity
- D. Is currently the cheapest source of electricity for California
- E. Both A and C

UCSD

Participation Question (Write on paper and turn in, for class participation credit)

1. Name the one or two most interesting things you've learned in the class so far.
2. Name the least interesting topic we've covered (if you can remember it!)
3. What would you to spend time on in class?

UCSD



Other Renewable Energy Sources

Wind Power

Biomass


OTEC, Tides,

UCSD

The Power of Wind

- We've talked about the kinetic energy in wind before:
 - a wind traveling at speed v covers v meters every second (if v is expressed in m/s)
 - the kinetic energy hitting a square meter is then the kinetic energy the mass of air defined by a rectangular tube
 - tube is one square meter by v meters, or v meters cubed
 - density of air is $\rho = 1.3 \text{ kg/m}^3$
 - mass is $(\rho)(Volume) = (\rho)(Area)(v t)$
 - K.E. = $\frac{1}{2}(\rho Avt) \cdot v^2 = \frac{1}{2}\rho Av^3 t$
 - So power per square meter = $K.E./(At) = \frac{1}{2}\rho v^3$
 - Key is that it is proportional to wind speed cubed

14



UCSD

Wind Energy proportional to *cube* of velocity

- Formulas in texts say power per square meter is $0.61v^3$, which is a more-or-less identical result
- So if the wind speed doubles, the power available in the wind increases by $2^3 = 2 \times 2 \times 2 = 8$ times
- A wind of 10 m/s (22 mph) has a power density of 610 W/m^2
- A wind of 20 m/s (44 mph) has a power density of $4,880 \text{ W/m}^2$
- Also explains why hurricanes with higher wind speeds cause so much more damage

16

UCSD

Can't get it all

- A windmill can't extract *all* of the kinetic energy available in the wind, because this would mean *stopping* the wind entirely
- Stopped wind would divert oncoming wind around it, and the windmill would stop spinning
- On the other hand, if you don't slow the wind down much at all, you won't get much energy
- **Theoretical maximum performance is 59% of energy extracted**
 - corresponds to reducing velocity by 36%



17

UCSD

Practical Efficiencies

- Modern windmills attain maybe 50–70% of the *theoretical* maximum
 - 0.5–0.7 times 0.59 is 0.30–0.41, or **about 30–40%**
 - **this figure is the *mechanical* energy extracted from the wind**
- **Conversion from mechanical to electrical is 90% efficient**
 - 0.9 times 0.30–0.41 is 27–37%

18



UCSD

Typical Windmills

- A typical windmill might be 15 m in diameter
 - 176 m²
- At 10 m/s wind, 40% efficiency, this delivers about 100 kW of power
 - this would be 800 kW at 20 m/s
 - typical windmills are rated at 50 to 600 kW
- How much energy per year?
 - 10 m/s → 610 W/m² × 40% → 240 W/m² × 8760 hours per year → 2,000 kWh per year
 - but wind is intermittent: real range from 100–500 kWh/m²
 - corresponds to 11–57 W/m² average available power density
- **Note the really high tip speeds: bird killers**
 - But compare 2003 estimate of 30,000 birds killed by wind turbines with cars: 80 million, cats: hundreds of million, collisions with buildings: hundreds of millions, toxic chemicals: 75 million.
 - However, wind turbines tend to kill raptors and bats; may be a problem if wind goes big time

21

UCSD

Average available wind power

note that average solar insolation is about 150–250 W/m²




Figure 5.7 Annual average wind power density (watts per square meter) at 50 meters altitude. (Figure supplied by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory.)

UCSD

Comparable to solar?

- These numbers are similar to solar, if not a little bigger!
 - Let's go to wind!
- **BUT:** the “per square meter” is not land area—it's rotor area
- Doesn't pay to space windmills too closely—one robs the other
- Typical arrangements have rotors 10 diameters apart in direction of prevailing wind, 5 diameters apart in the cross-wind direction
 - works out to 1.6% “fill factor”

23

UCSD

Current implementations

- California is biggest participant, with 1,745 MW capacity
 - cost is 5–7¢ per kWh (1993) getting to be competitive
 - but still insignificant total (one large hydro plant)
- Find that only 20% of rated capacity is achieved
 - design for high wind, but seldom get it
- If fully developed, we *could* generate an average power comparable to our current electricity demands (764 GW)
 - but highly variable resource, and problematic if more than 20% comes from the intermittent wind

24

UCSD

Biomass

- Biomass is any living organism, plant, animal, etc.
- 40×10^{12} W out of the $174,000 \times 10^{12}$ W incident on the earth from the sun goes into photosynthesis
 - 0.023%
 - this is the fuel for virtually all biological activity
 - half occurs in oceans
- Compare this to global human power generation of 13×10^{12} W, or to 0.6×10^{12} W of human biological activity
- Fossil fuels represent *stored* biomass energy

25

UCSD

Question

- ◆ Which energy source do you think will play the largest role in the future when fossil fuels either run out or are limited by climate change concerns?

- A. Wind power
- B. Ethanol and other bio-fuels
- C. Solar energy
- D. Geothermal, waves, and tidal energy
- E. nuclear

UCSD

Photosynthesis

- Typical carbohydrate (sugar) has molecular structure like: $[\text{CH}_2\text{O}]_x$, where x is some integer
 - refer to this as “unit block”: $\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_6$ (glucose) has $x=6$
- **Photosynthetic** reaction:

$$x\text{CO}_2 + x\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{light} \rightarrow [\text{CH}_2\text{O}]_x + x\text{O}_2$$

1.47 g	0.6 g	16 kJ	1 g	1.07 g
--------	-------	-------	-----	--------
- **Carbohydrate reaction (food consumption) is photosynthesis run backwards**
 - 16 kJ per gram is about 4 Calories per gram
- **Basically a “battery” for storing solar energy**

27

UCSD

Photosynthetic efficiency

- Only 25% of the solar spectrum is useful to the photosynthetic process
 - uses both red and blue light (reflects green), doesn't use IR or UV
- 70% of this light is actually absorbed by leaf
- Only 35% of the absorbed light energy (in the useful wavelength bands) is stored as chemical energy
 - the rest is heat
 - akin to photovoltaic incomplete usage of photon energy
- **Net result is about 6%**

28

UCSD

Realistic photosynthetic efficiency

Location	Plant Production (g/m ² per day)	Solar Energy Conversion Efficiency
Potential Maximum	71	5%
Polluted stream (!)	55	4%
Iowa cornfield	20	1.5%
Pine Forest	6	0.5%
Wyoming Prairie	0.3	0.02%
Nevada Desert	0.2	0.015%

29

UCSD

How much biomass is available?

- Two estimates of plant production in books come up with comparable answers:
 - 10¹⁷ grams per year
 - 320 grams per m² averaged over earth's surface
 - consistent with 40×10¹² W photosynthesis
- U.S. annual harvested mass corresponds to 80 QBtu
 - comparable to 100 QBtu total consumption
- U.S. actually has wood-fired plants: 6,500 MW-worth
 - in 1992, burned equivalent of 200,000 barrels of oil *per day*

30

UCSD

ethanol

- See Science article Farrell et al 2006, net energy gain of a few MJ/liter, and compare to gasoline with 34 MJ/liter or ethanol it self with 2/3 of that
- Result is that for corn ethanol need to put in 1 unit of energy to get only 1.2 unit of energy out; i.e. roughly 80% of energy in gallon of ethanol was used in its production.
- Greenhouse gases are roughly the same as gasoline; i.e. NOT a plus for climate change (must count fertilizer, gasoline for tractors, etc.)
- But does shift some energy from foreign oil to U.S. coal, etc.

31

UCSD

Quantitative Ethanol

- Let's calculate how much land we need to replace oil
 - an Iowa cornfield is 1.5% efficient at turning incident sunlight into stored chemical energy
 - the conversion to ethanol is 17% efficient
 - assuming 1.2:1 ratio, and using corn ethanol to power farm equipment and ethanol production itself
 - growing season is only part of year (say 50%)
 - net is 0.13% efficient ($1.5\% \times 17\% \times 50\%$)
 - need 40% of 10^{20} J per year = 4×10^{19} J/yr to replace petroleum
 - this is 1.3×10^{12} W: thus need 10^{15} W input (at 0.13%)
 - at 200 W/m^2 insolation, need $5 \times 10^{12} \text{ m}^2$, or $(2,200 \text{ km})^2$ of land
 - that's a square 2,200 km on a side

Thanks to T. Murphy

32


UCSD

What does this amount of land look like?

Land needed to replace
Current U.S. oil energy

Green: corn ethanol

Yellow: Solar photo-voltaics:
(all US energy, not just oil!)



We don't *have* this much arable land!
And where do we grow our food?

Thanks to T. Murphy

33

UCSD

Summary on corn ethanol

- In 2010, U.S. burned ~13 billion gallons of corn ethanol
- This replaced ~ 9 billion gallons of gasoline (gas has more energy/gal)
- U.S. taxpayers paid subsidies of ~ \$6 billion (to oil companies/growers)
- Ethanol does not help greenhouse gas, and is probably worse for the environment than burning gasoline (water and soil usage, air and water pollution)(according to EPA report)
- 40% of U.S. corn crop is for ethanol; contributes to higher food costs
- Energy used to grow corn ethanol roughly equal to energy in corn ethanol => very small net gain
- **BAD IDEA; SUBSIDIES SHOULD BE STOPPED IMMEDIATELY;** Laws requiring ethanol use should be repealed
- Tom Vilsack, Obama's Agriculture Secretary is a big problem; strong supporter of corn ethanol subsidies and laws.
- Prime example of fake environmental solution (along with clean coal)

34

UCSD

The lesson here

- Hopefully this illustrates the power of quantitative analysis
 - lots of ideas are floated/touted, but many don't pass the quantitative test
 - a plan has to do a heck of a lot more than sound good!!!
 - by being quantitative in this course, I am hoping to instill some of this discriminatory capability in you

35

UCSD

Question

- ◆ Which energy source do you think will play the largest role in the future when fossil fuels either run out or are limited by climate change concerns?
 - A. Wind power
 - B. Ethanol and other bio-fuels
 - C. Solar energy
 - D. Geothermal, waves, and tidal energy
 - E. nuclear

UCSD

Other renewables

- We won't spend time talking about every conceivable option for renewable energy (consult text and other books for more on these)
- Lots of imagination, few likely major players
- As a way of listing renewable alternatives, we will proceed by most abundant
 - for each, I'll put the approximate value of QBtu available annually
 - compare to our consumption of 100 QBtu per year

37

UCSD

Renewables list


- Solar (photovoltaic, solar thermal)
 - get 100 QBtu/yr with < 2% coverage of U.S. land area
- Wind
 - maybe 180 QBtu/yr *worldwide*, maybe 25 QBtu in U.S.
- Hydroelectric
 - 70 QBtu/yr feasible *worldwide*: twice current development
 - 5 QBtu/yr max potential in U.S.
- Biomass: complicated since depends upon how much food crops/forests are displaced. Could be substantial. May come back to this if time.
 - Corn ethanol in U.S. not a good idea
 - Sugar cane ethanol in Brazil is major part of their energy equation; running many of their cars on it (much more efficient than corn)
 - Cellulosic ethanol (e.g. switch grass) may be good if possible
 - Other ideas e.g. bio-diesel, algae have pros and cons (mostly cons!). Each idea must be carefully studied, e.g. biodiesel from palm oil looked good. Europe gave beg subsidies and ramped up use. Studies showed deforestation in Indonesia and peat CO₂ released overwhelmed good

UCSD

Renewables, continued

- **Geothermal: run heat engines off earth's internal heat**
 - could be as much as 1.5 QBtu/yr *worldwide* in 50 years
 - limited to a few rare sites
- **Tidal: oscillating hydroelectric “dams”**
 - a few rare sites are conducive to this (Bay of Fundy, for example)
 - up to 1 QBtu/yr practical *worldwide*
- **Ocean Thermal Energy Conversion (OTEC)**
 - use thermal gradient to drive heat engine
 - complex, at sea, small power outputs, very low efficiency
 - Not likely to be important
- **Waves**
 - World total about 70 QBtu/year, but usable much less
 - U.K. estimate is 1.5 Qbtu/year from their (very favorable) coastlines

39






Good idea?

- a. Yes
- b. No
- c. Not clear

[Clogged highways](#) and frustratingly waiting while your gas needle plummets to empty usually doesn't conjure up thoughts of [green](#), but it seems like these very roads could become the source of a lot more energy. Several recent student designs have proposed that major roadways be retrofitted with various forms of [wind energy](#) collection devices, ranging from overhead turbines that collect energy from quickly-moving cars below to barrier panels (pictured after the jump) that harness the wind from closely passing vehicles moving in opposite directions. Ideally, the wind energy could then be sent back out to the grid to power nearby communities, light-rail transportation systems, or even [intelligent billboards](#). Of course, most of these ideas are still in the research phase, and even if proven feasible, we can't imagine the up-front costs (or inconveniences of installing these things) to be minor, but we're sure that government subsidies should be able to lend a helping hand.

40






UCSD

Collision between energy needs and water needs

In the arid Southwest, population and water use have grown rapidly in the past couple of decades. This region may double its 2000 population by 2030, according to the U.S. Census Bureau, with potential accompanying increases in demand for water and electricity—and water for electricity. Complicating matters, changes in the region's climate are expected to lead to large drops in annual runoff and water availability by mid-century.

44



UCSD

Water required to produce transportation fuels

Running a typical car (getting the equivalent of 24 miles per gallon of gasoline) on corn ethanol can require one-half to 20 gallons of water per mile—or more—depending on the water used for irrigation. “Cellulosic” biofuel would require less than one gallon of water per mile. Gasoline, while not a renewable resource, requires the least water: less than half a gallon for extracting and refining oil.

