

Physics 2D Lecture Slides Lecture 11: Jan. 27th 2010

Sunil Sinha UCSD Physics

Einstein's Explanation of PhotoElectric Effect



What Maxwell Saw of EM Waves

Light as bullets of "photons" Energy concentrated in photons Energy exchanged instantly Energy of EM Wave E= hf

What Einstein Saw of EM Waves

Einstein's Explanation of Photoelectric Effect

- Energy associated with EM waves in not uniformly distributed over wave-front, rather is contained in packets of "stuff" ⇒ PHOTON
- E= hf = hc/ λ [but is it the same h as in Planck's th.?]
- Light shining on metal emitter/cathode is a stream of photons of energy which depends on frequency f
- Photons knock off electron from metal instantaneously
 - Transfer all energy to electron
 - Energy gets used up to pay for Work Function Φ (Binding Energy)
 - Rest of the energy shows up as KE of electron KE = hf- Φ
- Cutoff Frequency $hf_0 = \Phi$ (pops an electron, KE = 0)
- Larger intensity I \rightarrow more photons incident
- Low frequency light f → not energetic enough to overcome work function of electron in atom

Photo Electric & Einstein (Nobel Prize 1915)

Light shining on metal cathode is made of photons Energy E, depends on frequency f, $E = hf = h (c/\lambda)$ This QUANTUM of energy is used to knock off electron

 $E = hf = \varphi + KE_{electron}$ $eV_s = KE = hf - \varphi$



Photo Electric & Einstein (Nobel Prize 1915)

Light shining on metal cathode is made of photons Quantum of Energy E = hf = $KE + \phi \implies KE = hf - \phi$



Modern View of Photoelectric Effect



Is "h" same in Photoelectric Effect as BB Radiation?



Slope h = 6.626×10^{-34} JS Einstein \rightarrow Nobel Prize!

> No matter where you travel in the galaxy and beyond... ..no matter what experiment You do

h : Planck's constant is same

NOBEL PRIZE FOR PLANCK

Work Function (Binding Energy) In Metals

TABLE 3-1	Photoelectric work functions
Element	φ (eV)
Na	2.28
С	4.81
Cd	4.07
Al	4.08
Ag	4.73
Pt	6.35
Mg	3.68
Ni	5.01
Se	5.11
Pb	4.14

Photoelectric Effect on An Iron Surface:

Light of Intensity I = $1.0 \ \mu$ W/cm² incident on 1.0cm² surface of Fe Assume Fe reflects 96% of light

further only 3% of incident light is Violet region (λ = 250nm)

barely above threshold frequency for Ph. El effect

(a) Intensity available for Ph. El effect I = $3\% \times 4\% \times (1.0 \ \mu W/cm^2)$ (b) how many photo-electrons emitted per second ?

of photoelectrons = $\frac{Power}{h f} = \frac{3\% \times 4\% \times (1.0 \ \mu W/cm^2) \lambda}{hc}$ = $\frac{(250 \times 10^{-9} m)(1.2 \times 10^{-9} J/s)}{(6.6 \times 10^{-34} J \cdot s)(3.0 \times 10^8 m/s)}$ = 1.5×10^9

(c) Current in Ammeter : $i = (1.6 \times 10^{-19} C)(1.5 \times 10^{9}) = 2.4 \times 10^{-10} A$ (d) Work Function $\Phi = hf_0 = (4.14 \times 10^{-15} eV \cdot s)(1.1 \times 10^{15} s^{-1})$

 $= 4.5 \, eV$

Facts

- The human eye is a sensitive photon detector at visible wavelenghts: Need >5 photons of ≅ 550nm to register on your optical sensor
- The Photographic process :
 - Energy to Dissociate an AgBr molecule = 0.6eV
- Photosynthesis Process : 9 sunlight photon per reaction cycle of converting CO_2 and water to carbohydrate & O_2 – chlorophyll absorbs best at $\lambda \cong 650-700$ nm
- Designing Space Shuttle "skin" : Why Platinum is a good thing
- designing Solar cells : picking your metal cathode

Photon & Relativity: Wave or a Particle ?

- Photon associated with EM waves, travel with speed =c
- For light (m =0) : Relativity says $E^2 = (pc)^2 + (mc^2)^2$
- \Rightarrow E = pc
- But Planck tells us : $E = hf = h (c/\lambda)$
- Put them together : hc $/\lambda = pc$

 $- \Rightarrow p = h/\lambda$

- Momentum of the photon (light) is inversely proportional to $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$
- But we associate λ with waves & p with particleswhat is going on??
 - –A new paradigm of conversation with the subatomic particles : Quantum Physics

X Rays "Bremsstrahlung": The Braking Radiation

- EM radiation, produced by bombarding a metal target with energetic electrons.
- Produced in general by ALL decelerating charged particles
- X rays : very short $\lambda \cong 60\text{-}100 \text{ pm} (10^{-12} \text{m})$, large frequency f
- Very penetrating because very energetic E = hf !!





Useful for probing structure of sub-atomic Particles (and your teeth)

X Ray Production Mechanism



when electron passes near a positively charged target nucleus contained in target material, its deflected from its path because of its electrical attraction, experiences acceleration.

Rules of E&M say that any charged particle will emit radiation when accelerated. This EM radiation "appears" as photons. Since photo carries energy and momentum, the electron must lose same amount. If all of electron's energy is lost in just one single collision then hc

$$e \Delta V = hf_{max} = \frac{hc}{\lambda_{min}} \text{ or } \lambda_{min} = \frac{hc}{e \Delta V}$$

X Ray Spectrum in Molybdenum (Mo)



- Braking radiation predicted by Maxwell's eqn
- decelerated charged particle will radiate continuously
- Spikes in the spectrum are characteristic of the nuclear structure of target material and varies between materials
- Shown here are the α and β lines for Molybdenum (Mo)
- To measure the wavelength, diffraction grating is too wide, need smaller slits
 - •An atomic crystal lattice as diffraction grating (Bragg)

- X rays are EM waves of low wavelength, high frequency (and energy) and demonstrate characteristic features of a wave
 - Interference
 - Diffraction



- To probe into a structure you need a light source with wavelength much smaller than the features of the object being probed
 - Good Resolution $\rightarrow \lambda << \Delta$
- X rays allows one probe at atomic size (10⁻¹⁰)m

Compton Scattering : Quantum Pool !

- 1922: Arthur Compton (USA) proves that X-rays (EM Waves) have particle like properties (acts like photons)
 - Showed that classical theory failed to explain the scattering effect of
 - X rays on to free (not bound, barely bound electrons)
- Experiment : shine X ray EM waves on to a surface with "almost" free electrons
 - Watch the scattering of light off electron : measure time + wavelength of scattered X-ray



Compton Effect: what should Happen Classically?

- Plane wave $[f,\lambda]$ incident on a surface with loosely bound electrons \rightarrow interaction of E field of EM wave with electron: $\mathbf{F} = e\mathbf{E}$
- Electron oscillates with $f = f_{incident}$
- Eventually radiates spherical waves with $f_{radiated} = f_{incident}$
 - At all scattering angles, $\Delta f \& \Delta \lambda$ must be zero
- Time delay while the electron gets a "tan" : soaks in radiation



Compton Scattering : Setup & Results



Scattered λ' larger than incident

Compton Scattering Observations



Compton Scattering : Summary of Observations



How does one explain this startling anisotropy?

Compton Effect : Quantum (Relativistic) Pool



Compton Scattering: Quantum Picture



Energy Conservation: $E+m_ec^2 = E'+E_e$ Momentum Conserv: $p = p'cos\theta + p_e cos\phi$ $0 = p'sin\theta - p_e sin\phi$ Use these to eliminate electron deflection angle (not measured)

 $p_{e} \cos \phi = p - p' \cos \theta$ $p_{e} \sin \phi = p' \sin \theta$ Square and add \Rightarrow $p_{e}^{2} = p^{2} - 2pp' \cos \theta + p'^{2}$ Eliminate $p_{e} \& E_{e}$ using $E_{e}^{2} = p_{e}^{2}c^{2} + m_{e}^{2}c^{4} \&$ $E_{e} = (E - E') + m_{e}c^{2}$

Compton Scattering: The Quantum Picture



 $\Rightarrow \frac{\text{E-E'}}{\text{EE'}} = \frac{1}{m c^2} (1 - \cos \theta) \Rightarrow (\lambda' - \lambda) = (\frac{h}{m_c c})(1 - \cos \theta)$

Energy Conservation: $E+m_ec^2 = E'+E_e$ Momentum Conserv: $p = p'\cos\theta + p_e\cos\phi$ $0 = p'\sin\theta - p_e\sin\phi$ Use these to eliminate electron deflection angle (not measured)

Rules of Quantum Pool between Photon and Electron





Plot scattered photon data, calculate slope and measure "h"





 $1 - \cos \vartheta$

Interference of Waves: A Reminder

Two Identical waves $y_i(x,t) = y_{\max} \sin(k_i x - \omega_i t + \phi_i)$ travel along +x and interefere to give a resulting wave y'(x,t). The resulting wave form depends on relative phase difference

between 2 waves. Shown for $\Delta \phi = 0, \pi, \frac{2}{3}\pi$

Read Ch17-8 from Resnick etal held in Ereserve



An X-ray Tube from 20th Century







Bragg Scattering: Probing Atoms With X-Rays



Constructive Interference when net phase difference is 0, 2π etc This implied path difference traveled by two waves must be integral multiple of wavelength : $n\lambda=2d\sin\vartheta$











Proteins inside Rhinovirus reconstructed by x-ray diffraction

