## Weighted Averages

$\begin{array}{ll}A: & x=x_{A} \pm \sigma_{A} \\ B: & x=x_{B} \pm \sigma_{B}\end{array} \quad$ combining separate measurements: what is the best estimate for $x$ ?
$\operatorname{Prob}_{X}\left(x_{A}\right) \propto \frac{1}{\sigma_{A}} e^{-\left(x_{A}-X\right)^{2} / 2 \sigma_{A}^{2}} \quad$ assume that measurements are governed
$\operatorname{Prob}_{X}\left(x_{B}\right) \propto \frac{1}{\sigma_{B}} e^{-\left(x_{B}-X\right)^{2} / 2 \sigma_{B}^{2}}$ probability that A finds $x_{A}$
$\operatorname{Prob}_{X}\left(x_{A} x_{B}\right)=\operatorname{Prob}_{X}\left(x_{A}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Prob}_{X}\left(x_{B}\right) \longleftarrow$ probability that A finds $x_{A}$ and B finds $x_{B}$

$$
\propto \frac{1}{\sigma_{A} \sigma_{B}} e^{-x^{2} / 2} \quad \longleftarrow \quad \text { find maximum of probability }
$$

$\chi^{2}=\left(\frac{x_{A}-X}{\sigma_{A}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{x_{B}-X}{\sigma_{B}}\right)^{2} \quad$ the best estimate for $X$ is that value for which $\operatorname{Prob}_{X}\left(x_{A}, x_{B}\right)$ is maximum
$\frac{d \chi^{2}}{d X}=0 \Rightarrow-2 \frac{x_{A}-X}{\sigma_{A}{ }^{2}}-2 \frac{x_{B}-X}{\sigma_{B}{ }^{2}}=0 \quad$ chi squared - "sum of squares"
(best estimate for $X)=\left(\frac{x_{A}}{\sigma_{A}^{2}}+\frac{x_{B}}{\sigma_{B}^{2}}\right) /\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{A}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\sigma_{B}^{2}}\right) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { find minimum of } \chi^{2} \\ & \text { method of least squares }\end{aligned}$
$=\frac{w_{A} x_{A}+w_{B} x_{B}}{w_{A}+w_{B}}=x_{\text {wav }} \longleftarrow$ weighted average
$w_{A}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{A}^{2}} \quad w_{B}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{B}^{2}}$
weights

## Weighted Averages

$x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}$-measurements of a single quantity $x$ with uncertainties $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1} \pm \sigma_{1}, x_{2} \pm \sigma_{2}, \ldots, x_{N} \pm \sigma_{N} \\
& x_{w a v}=\frac{\sum w_{i} x_{i}}{\sum w_{i}} \\
& w_{i}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{i}^{2}} \\
& \sigma_{w a v}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum w_{i}}}
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { weighted average }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example Problem

Two students measure the radius of a planet and get final answers $R_{A}=25,000 \pm 3,000 \mathrm{~km}$ and $R_{B}=19,000 \pm 2,500 \mathrm{~km}$.
The best estimate of the true radius of a planet is the weighted average. Find the best estimate of the true radius of a planet and the error in that estimate.

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{w a v}=\frac{w_{A} x_{A}+w_{B} x_{B}}{w_{A}+w_{B}} \quad w_{A}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{A}^{2}} \quad w_{B}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{B}^{2}} \quad \sigma_{w a v}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{w_{A}+w_{B}}} \\
R_{\text {wav }}=\frac{\frac{R_{A}}{\sigma_{A}^{2}}+\frac{R_{B}}{\sigma_{B}^{2}}}{\frac{1}{\sigma_{A}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\sigma_{B}^{2}}}=\frac{\frac{25,000}{3,000^{2}}+\frac{19,000}{2,500^{2}}}{\frac{1}{3,000^{2}}+\frac{1}{2,500^{2}}}=21,459 \mathrm{~km} \rightarrow 21,500 \mathrm{~km} \\
\sigma_{w a v}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{\sigma_{A}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\sigma_{B}^{2}}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{3,000^{2}}+\frac{1}{2,500^{2}}}}=1,921 \mathrm{~km} \rightarrow 1,900 \mathrm{~km}} \\
R_{\text {wav }}=21,500 \pm 1,900 \mathrm{~km}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Least-Squares Fitting

consider two variables $x$ and $y$ that are connected by a linear relation

$$
y=A+B x
$$


graphical method of finding the best straight line to fit a series of experimental points


$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N} \\
& y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

analytical method of finding the best straight line to fit a series of experimental points is called linear regression or the least-squares fit for a line

## Calculation of the Constants $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $B$

(true value for $y_{i}$ ) $=A+B x_{i}$
$\operatorname{Prob}_{A, B}\left(y_{1}\right) \propto \frac{1}{\sigma_{y}} e^{-\left(y_{1}-A-B x_{1}\right)^{2} / 2 \sigma_{y}^{2}} \longleftarrow$ probability of obtaining the observed value of $y_{1}$
$\operatorname{Prob}_{A, B}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{N}\right)=\operatorname{Prob}_{A, B}\left(y_{1}\right) \cdots \operatorname{Prob}_{A, B}\left(y_{N}\right) \longleftarrow$ probability of obtaining the set $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{N}$ $\propto \frac{1}{\sigma_{y}^{N}} e^{-x^{2} / 2} \longleftarrow$ find maximum of probability
$\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\left(y_{i}-A-B x_{i}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{y}^{2}} \longleftarrow \begin{aligned} & \text { chi squared }- \text { "sum of squares" } \\ & \text { find minimum of } \chi^{2} \\ & \text { least squares fitting }\end{aligned}$

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \chi^{2}}{\partial A}=\frac{-2}{\sigma_{y}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(y_{i}-A-B x_{i}\right)=0 \\
& \frac{\partial \chi^{2}}{\partial B}=\frac{-2}{\sigma_{y}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}\left(y_{i}-A-B x_{i}\right)=0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \sum y_{i}-A N-B \sum x_{i}=0 \\
& \sum x_{i} y_{i}-A \sum x_{i}-B \sum x_{i}^{2}=0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\frac{\sum x^{2} \sum y-\sum x \sum x y}{\Delta} \\
& B=\frac{N \sum x y-\sum x \sum y}{\Delta} \\
& \Delta=N \sum x^{2}-\left(\sum x\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Uncertainties in $y, A$, and $B$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{y}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{N-2} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(y_{i}-A-B x_{i}\right)^{2}} \\
& \sigma_{A}=\sigma_{y} \sqrt{\frac{\sum x^{2}}{\Delta}} \\
& \sigma_{B}=\sigma_{y} \sqrt{\frac{N}{\Delta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

uncertainty in the measurement of $y$
uncertainties in the constants $A$ and $B$
given by error propagation in terms of uncertainties in $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{N}$


## Example of Calculation of the Constants $A$ and $B$



Covariance

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x=\bar{x} \pm \delta x \rightarrow \\
y=\bar{y} \pm \delta y \rightarrow \\
\quad q(x, y)=\bar{q} \pm \delta q \\
\quad \text { find } \bar{q} \text { and } \delta q
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& N \text { pairs of data }\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{N}, y_{N}\right) \\
& x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N} \rightarrow \bar{x} \text { and } \sigma_{x} \\
& y_{1}, \ldots, y_{N} \rightarrow \bar{y} \text { and } \sigma_{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\bar{q}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_{i}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)=0 \Rightarrow \bar{q}=q(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \\
& \begin{aligned}
\sigma_{q}^{2} & =\frac{1}{N} \sum\left(g_{i}-\bar{g}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \sum\left[\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)+\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)\right]^{2} \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{N} \sum\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2} \\
& +2 \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \frac{1}{N} \sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\sigma_{q}$ for arbitrary $\sigma_{x}$ and $\sigma_{y}$ $\sigma_{x}$ and $\sigma_{y}$ can be correlated $\qquad$
$\qquad$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{q}^{2}=\left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \sigma_{x}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\right)^{2} \sigma_{y}^{2}+2 \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \sigma_{x y} \\
& \sigma_{x y}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right) \cdot\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

when $\sigma_{x}$ and $\sigma_{y}$ are independent $\sigma_{x y}=0 \longrightarrow \sigma_{q}^{2}=\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \sigma_{x}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\right)^{2} \sigma_{y}^{2}$

## Coefficient of Linear Correlation

$N$ pairs of values $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{N}, y_{N}\right)$

$$
y=A+B x \quad \text { do } N \text { pairs of }\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \text { satisfy a linear relation? }
$$

$$
\begin{array}{|ll}
r=\frac{\sigma_{x y}}{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}} \\
r=\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} \Sigma\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}} \\
-1 \leqslant r \leqslant 1
\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { or correlation coefficient }
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { linear correlation coefficient }
\end{aligned}
$$

suppose $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ all lie exactly
on the line $y=A+B x$
$y_{i}=A+B x_{i}$
$\bar{y}=A+B \bar{x}$
$y_{1}-\bar{y}=B\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)$
$r=\frac{B \sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{\sqrt{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} \cdot B^{2} \sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}}=\frac{B}{|B|}= \pm 1$
suppose, there is no relationship between $x$ and $y$
$\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right) \rightarrow 0$
if $r$ is close to $\pm 1$
when $x$ and $y$ are linearly correlated
if $r$ is close to 0
when there is no relationship between $x$ and $y$ $x$ and $y$ are uncorrelated
$r=0$

## Quantitative Significance of $r$

| Student $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Homework $x_{i}$ | 90 | 60 | 45 | 100 | 15 | 23 | 52 | 30 | 71 | 88 |
| Exam $y_{i}$ | 90 | 71 | 65 | 100 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 85 | 100 | 80 |

calculate correlation coefficient
$r=\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} \sum\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}}$
probability that $N$ measurements of two uncorrelated variables $x$ and $y$ would produce $r \geq r_{0} \longrightarrow$ Table C

Table 9.4. The probability $\operatorname{Prob}_{N}\left(|r| \geqslant r_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ that $N$ measurements of two uncorrelated variables $x$ and $y$ would produce a correlation coefficient with $|r| \geqslant r_{\mathrm{o}}$. Values given are percentage probabilities, and blanks indicate values less than $0.05 \%$.

correlation is "significant" if $\operatorname{Prob}_{N}\left(|r| \geq r_{0}\right)$ is less than $5 \%$
correlation is "highly significant" if $\operatorname{Prob}_{N}\left(|r| \geq r_{0}\right)$ is less than $1 \%$
it is very likely that $x$ and $y$ are correlated the correlation is highly significant

## Example:

Calculate the covariance and the correlation coefficient $r$ for the following six pairs of measurements of two sides $x$ and $y$ of a rectangle. Would you say these data show a significant linear correlation coefficient? Highly significant?

$$
\begin{array}{rcccccc}
\text { A } & \text { B } & \text { C } & \text { D } & \text { E } & \text { F } \\
x=71 & 72 & 73 & 75 & 76 & 77 & \mathrm{~mm} \\
y=95 & 96 & 96 & 98 & 98 & 99 & \mathrm{~mm} \\
& & & & \bar{x} & =74 \\
& & & & \bar{y} & =97
\end{array}
$$

covariance $\quad \sigma_{x y}=\frac{1}{N} \sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)=\frac{1}{6}((-3) \times(-2)+\ldots+3 \times 2)=\underline{3}$
correlation coefficient $\quad r=\frac{\sigma_{x y}}{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}}=\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} \sum\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}}=\underline{0.98}$
Table C $\operatorname{Prob}_{6}(|r| \geq 0.98) \approx 0.2 \%$ therefore, the correlation is both $\underline{\text { significant and highly significant }}$

## The square-root rule for a counting experiment

for events which occur at random
but with a definite average rate $N$ occurrences in a time $T$ the standard deviation is $\sqrt{N}$

(fractional uncertainty) $=\frac{\sqrt{N}}{N}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ reduces with increasing $N$

## Examples

Photoemission:
if average emission rate is $10^{6}$ photons $/ \mathrm{s}$, uncertainty is $\sqrt{10^{6}}=10^{3}$ photons $/ \mathrm{s}$ and expected number is $10^{6} \pm 10^{3}$ photons/s
fractional uncertainty $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}=\frac{1}{1000}$

Rain droplets on a windshield:
if average rate is 100 droplets/s, uncertainty is $\sqrt{100}=10$ droplets/s and expected number is $100 \pm 10$ droplets/s

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}=\frac{1}{10}
$$

## Chi Squared Test for a Distribution

40 measured values of $x$ (in cm )

| 731 | 772 | 771 | 681 | 722 | 688 | 653 | 757 | 733 | 742 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 739 | 780 | 709 | 676 | 760 | 748 | 672 | 687 | 766 | 645 |
| 678 | 748 | 689 | 810 | 805 | 778 | 764 | 753 | 709 | 675 |
| 698 | 770 | 754 | 830 | 725 | 710 | 738 | 638 | 787 | 712 |

are these measurements
governed by a Gauss distribution?
$\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{N}=730.1 \mathrm{~cm}$
$\sigma=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{N-1}}=46.8 \mathrm{~cm}$

$O_{k}$ - observed number $\quad x^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{4} \frac{\left(o_{k}-E_{k}\right)^{2}}{E_{k}}$
$E_{k}$-expected number $\quad=\frac{(1.6)^{2}}{6.4}+\frac{(-3.6)^{2}}{13.6}+\frac{(2.4)^{2}}{13.6}+\frac{(-0.4)^{2}}{6.4}$
$\sqrt{E_{k}}$ - fluctuations of $E_{k}$

$$
=1.80<n \longrightarrow \text { by a Gauss distribution }
$$

Degrees of Freedom and Reduced Chi Squared
a better procedure is to compare $\chi^{2}$ not with the number of bins $n$ but instead with the number of degree of freedom $d$
$n$ is the number of bins
$c$ is the number of parameters that had to be calculated from the data to compute the expected numbers $E_{k}$

$$
d=n-c
$$

$c$ is called the number of constrains
$d$ is the number of degrees of freedom

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { test for a Gauss } \\
& \text { distribution } G_{X, \sigma}(x)
\end{aligned} \rightarrow c=3{\underset{K}{K}}_{\stackrel{\alpha}{K}}^{N}
$$

(expected average value of $\left.x^{2}\right)=d=n-c$
$\widetilde{x}^{2}=x^{2} / d \quad$ reduced chi squared
(expected average value of $\left.\widetilde{x}^{2}\right)=1$

## Probabilities of Chi Squared

quantitative measure of agreement between observed data and their expected distribution (expected average value of $x^{2}$ ) $=d=n-c$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{x}^{2}=x^{2} / d \\
& \text { (expected average value of } \left.\tilde{x}^{2}\right)=1 \\
& x^{2}=1.80 \\
& d=4-3=1 \\
& \tilde{x}^{2}=1.80 \\
& \operatorname{Prob}\left(\widetilde{x}^{2} \geqslant 1.80\right) \approx 18 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

| $d$ | $\widetilde{\chi}_{0}{ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1.0 | 1.25 | 1.5 | 1.75 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1 | 100 | 62 | 48 | 39 | 32 | 26 | 22 | 19 X | 16 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| 2 | 100 | 78 | 61 | 47 | 37 | 29 | 22 | 17 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| 3 | 100 | 86 | 68 | 52 | 39 | 29 | 21 | 15 | 11 | 3 | 0.7 | 0.2 | - |
| 5 | 100 | 94 | 78 | 59 | 42 | 28 | 19 | 12 | 8 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - |
| 10 | 100 | 99 | 89 | 68 | 44 | 25 | 13 | 6 | 3 | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| 15 | 100 | 100 | 94 | 73 | 45 | 23 | 10 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - |

probability of obtaining a value of $\tilde{\chi}^{2}$ greater or equal to $\widetilde{\chi}_{0}^{2}$, assuming the measurements are governed by the expected distribution
disagreement is "significant" if $\operatorname{Prob}_{N}\left(\tilde{\chi}^{2} \geq \widetilde{\chi}_{0}^{2}\right)$ is less than $5 \%$ disagreement is "highly significant" if $\operatorname{Prob}_{N}\left(\widetilde{\chi}^{2} \geq \widetilde{\chi}_{0}^{2}\right)$ is less than $1 \%$
reject the expected distribution

