## Physics 2D Lecture Slides Week of May 11,2009

Sunil Sinha<br>UCSD Physics

Recap!!

## Wave Packet : Localization

-Finite \# of diff. Monochromatic waves always produce INFINTE sequence of repeating wave groups $\rightarrow$ can't describe (localized) particle -To make localized wave packet, add " infinite" \# of waves with Well chosen Ampl A, Wave\# k, ang.
$\psi(x, t)=\int^{\infty} A(k) e^{i(k x-\omega t)} d k$
$A(k)=$ Amplitude Fn
$\Rightarrow$ diff waves of diff k
have different amplitudes $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{k})$
$\omega=\omega(\mathrm{k})$, depends on type of wave, media
Group Velocity $V_{g}=\left.\frac{d \omega}{d k}\right|_{k=1}$


## Group, Velocity, Phase Velocity and Dispersion

In a Wave Packet: $\omega=\omega(k)$
Group Velocity $V_{g}=\left.\frac{d \omega}{d k}\right|_{k=k_{0}}$
Since $V_{p}=\omega / k \quad($ def $) \Rightarrow \omega=k V_{p}$
$\therefore V_{g}=\frac{d \omega}{d k}\left|=V_{p}\right|_{k=k_{0}}+\left.k \frac{d V_{p}}{d k}\right|_{k=k_{0}}$

usually $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}=V_{p}(k$ or $\lambda)$
Material in which $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}$ varies with $\lambda$ are said to be Dispersive Individual harmonic waves makng a wave pulse travel at
different $V_{p}$ thus changing shape of pulse and become spread out

In non-dispersive media, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}=V_{p}$
In dispersive media $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}} \neq V_{p}$, depends on $\frac{d V_{p}}{d k}$

## Matter Wave Packets

## Consider An Electron:

mass $=\mathrm{m}$ velocity $=\mathrm{v}$, momentum $=\mathrm{p}$
Energy $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{hf}=\gamma \mathrm{mc}^{2} ; \omega=2 \pi f=\frac{2 \pi}{\mathrm{~h}} \gamma \mathrm{mc}^{2}$
Wavelength $\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{k}=\frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} \Rightarrow k=\frac{2 \pi}{h} \gamma m v$
Group Velocity: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}=\frac{d \omega}{d k}=\frac{d \omega / d v}{d k / d v}$
$\frac{d \omega}{d \nu}=\frac{d}{d v}\left[\frac{\frac{2 \pi}{\mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{mc}^{2}}{\left[1-\left(\frac{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}}\right]=\frac{2 \pi \mathrm{mv}}{\mathrm{h}\left[1-\left(\frac{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}} \& \frac{d k}{d \nu}=\frac{d}{d \nu}\left[\frac{2 \pi}{h\left[1-\left(\frac{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}} m \nu\right]=\frac{2 \pi \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~h}\left[1-\left(\frac{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}=\frac{d \omega}{d k}=\frac{d \omega / d v}{d k / d v}=v \Rightarrow$ Group velocity of electron Wave packet "pilot wave" is same as electron's physical velocity
But velocity of individual waves making up the wave packet $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\omega}{k}=\frac{c^{2}}{v}>c!\quad$ (not physical)

## Wave Packets \& Uncertainty Principle



## Amplitude Modulation

- Distance $\Delta \mathrm{X}$ between adjacent minima $=\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)_{\text {node }}-\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)_{\text {node }}$
- Define $X_{1}=0$ then phase diff from $X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}=\pi$

Node at $\mathrm{y}=0=2 \mathrm{~A} \cos \left(\frac{\Delta \omega}{2} t-\frac{\Delta k}{2} x\right)$
$\Rightarrow \quad \Delta k . \Delta x=2 \pi \Rightarrow$ Need to combine more k to make small $\Delta x$ packet
also implies $\Rightarrow \quad \Delta p . \Delta x=h$
and

What does
This mean?

$$
\Delta \omega \cdot \Delta t=2 \pi \Rightarrow \text { Need to combine more } \omega \text { to make small } \Delta t \text { packet }
$$ also $\Rightarrow \Delta E . \Delta t=h$

## Know the Error of Thy Ways: Measurement Error $\rightarrow \Delta$

- Measurements are made by observing something : length, time, momentum, energy
- All measurements have some (limited) precision`...no matter the instrument used
- Examples:
- How long is a desk? $\mathrm{L}=(5 \pm 0.1) \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{L} \pm \Delta \mathrm{L}$ (depends on ruler used)
- How long was this lecture ? $\mathrm{T}=(50 \pm 1)$ minutes $=\mathrm{T} \pm \Delta \mathrm{T}$ (depends on the accuracy of your watch)
- How much does Prof. Sinha weigh ? $M=(1000 \pm 950) \mathrm{kg}=\mathrm{m} \pm \Delta \mathrm{m}$
- Is this a correct measure of my weight ?
- Correct (because of large error reported) but imprecise
- My correct weight is covered by the (large) error in observation


Best Estimate Length: 36 mm Probable Range: 35.5 to 36.5 mm

Length Measure


Best Estimate of Voltage: 5.3 V
Estimated Range: 5.2 to 5.4 mm

## Voltage (or time) Measure

## Measurement Error : $\mathrm{x} \pm \Delta \mathrm{x}$

- Measurement errors are unavoidable since the measurement procedure is an experimental ont
- True value of an measurable quantity is an abstract concept
- In a set of repeated measurements with random errors, the distribution of measurements resembles a Gaussian distribution characterized by the parameter $\sigma$ or $\Delta$ characterizing the width of the distribution




## Measurement Error : $\mathrm{x} \pm \Delta \mathrm{x}$

- Measurement errors are unavoidable since the measurement procedure is an experimental ont
- True value of an measurable quantity is an abstract concept
- In a set of repeated measurements with random errors, the distribution of measurements resembles a Gaussian distribution characterized by the parameter $\sigma$ or $\Delta$ characterizing the width of the distribution




## Interpreting Measurements with random Error : $\Delta$



Figure 5.12. The shaded area between $X \pm t \sigma$ is the probability of a measurement within $t$ standard deviations of $X$.


| $t$ | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1.0 | 1.25 | 1.5 | 1.75 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prob (\%) | 0 | 20 | 38 | 55 | 68 | 79 | 87 | 92 | 95.4 | 98.8 | 99.7 | 99.95 |
| 99.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Where in the World is Carmen San Diego?

- Carmen San Diego hidden inside a big box of length L
- Suppose you can't see thru the (blue) box, what is you best estimate of her location inside box (she could be anywhere inside the box)



## Your best unbiased measure would be $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{L} / 2 \pm \mathrm{L} / 2$

There is no perfect measurement, there are always measurement error

## Wave Packets \& Matter Waves



What is the Wave Length of this wave packet?

$$
\lambda-\Delta \lambda<\lambda<\lambda+\Delta \lambda
$$

De Broglie wavelength $\lambda=\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{p}$
$\rightarrow$ Momentum Uncertainty: $\mathrm{p}-\Delta \mathrm{p}<\mathrm{p}<\mathrm{p}+\Delta \mathrm{p}$
Similarly for frequency $\omega$ or $f$

$$
\omega-\Delta \omega<\omega<\omega+\Delta \omega
$$

Planck's condition $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{hf}=\mathrm{h} \omega / 2$
$\rightarrow \quad \mathrm{E}-\Delta \mathrm{E}<\mathrm{E}<\mathrm{E}+\Delta \mathrm{E}$

## Back to Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle \& $\Delta$

- $\Delta \mathrm{x} . \Delta \mathrm{p} \geq \mathrm{h} / 4 \pi \Rightarrow$
- If the measurement of the position of a particle is made with a precision $\Delta x$ and a SIMULTANEOUS measurement of its momentum $p_{x}$ in the $X$ direction, then the product of the two uncertainties (measurement errors) can never be smaller than $\cong h / 4 \pi$ irrespective of how precise the measurement tools
$\Delta \mathrm{E} . \Delta \mathrm{t} \geq \mathrm{h} / 4 \pi \Rightarrow$
- If the measurement of the energy E of a particle is made with a precision $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ and it took time $\Delta t$ to make that measurement, then the product of the two uncertainties (measurement errors) can never be smaller than $\cong h / 4 \pi$ irrespective of how precise the measurement tools

These rules arise from the way we constructed the Wave packets describing Matter "pilot" waves

Perhaps these rules
Are bogus, can we verify
this with some physical
picture ??

## The Act of Observation (Compton Scattering)

Observations of particle motion by means of scattered illumination. When the incident wavelength is reduced to accommodate the size of the particle, the momentum transferred by the photon becomes large enough to disturb the observed motion.


## Compton Scattering: Shining light to observe electron



## Diffraction By a Circular Aperture (Lens)

## See Resnick, Halliday Walker ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ed, Ch 37, pages 898-900



Diffracted image of a point source of light thru a lens ( circular aperture of size $d$ )

First minimum of diffraction pattern is located by

Fig. 37-9 The diffraction pattem of a circular aperture. Note the central max imum and the cireular secondary maxima. The figure has been overexposed to bring out these secondary maxima, which are muche less intense than the central maximum.


## Resolving Power of Light Thru a Lens

Image of 2 separate point sources formed by a converging lens of diameter d , ability to resolve them depends on $\lambda \& d$ because of the Inherent diffraction in image formation


(a)


(b)


Not resolved barely resolved resolved

$$
\text { Resolving power } \Delta \mathrm{x} \simeq \frac{\lambda}{2 \sin \theta}
$$

$\vartheta$ Depends on $d$

## Putting it all together: act of Observing an electron



- Incident light $(\mathrm{p}, \lambda)$ scatters off electron
- To be collected by lens $\rightarrow \gamma$ must scatter thru angle $\alpha$

$$
\text { - } \quad-\vartheta \leq \alpha \leq \vartheta
$$

- Due to Compton scatter, electron picks up momentum
$\cdot \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Y}}$

$$
-\frac{h}{\lambda} \sin \theta \leq P_{x} \leq \frac{h}{\lambda} \sin \theta
$$

electron momentum uncertainty is

$$
\Delta \mathrm{p} \cong \frac{\sim 2 \mathrm{~h}}{\lambda} \sin \theta
$$

- After passing thru lens, photon diffracts, lands somewhere on screen, image (of electron) is fuzzy
- How fuzzy ? Optics says shortest distance between two resolvable points is :

$$
\Delta x=\frac{\lambda}{2 \sin \theta}
$$

- Larger the lens radius, larger the $\vartheta \Rightarrow$ better resolution

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Rightarrow \Delta p \cdot \Delta x \simeq\left(\frac{2 h \sin \theta}{\lambda}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda}{2 \sin \theta}\right)=h \\
\Rightarrow \Delta p \cdot \Delta x \geq \hbar / 2
\end{gathered}
$$

## Pseudo-Philosophical Aftermath of Uncertainty Principle

- Newtonian Physics \& Deterministic physics topples over
- Newton's laws told you all you needed to know about trajectory of a particle
- Apply a force, watch the particle go !
- Know every thing ! X, v, p, F, a
- Can predict exact trajectory of particle if you had perfect device
- No so in the subatomic world !
- Of small momenta, forces, energies
- Can't predict anything exactly
- Can only predict probabilities
- There is so much chance that the particle landed here or there
- Cant be sure !....cognizant of the errors of thy observations

Philosophers went nuts !... what has happened to nature Philosophers just talk, don't do real life experiments!

## All Measurements Have Associated Errors

- If your measuring apparatus has an intrinsic inaccuracy (error) of amount $\Delta \mathrm{p}$
- Then results of measurement of momentum $p$ of an object at rest can easily yield a range of values accommodated by the measurement imprecision :
$-\quad-\Delta p \leq p \leq \Delta p$
- Similarly for all measurable quantities like x, t, Energy !


## Matter Diffraction \& Uncertainty Principle



## Particle at Rest Between Two Walls

- Object of mass $M$ at rest between two walls originally at infinity
- What happens to our perception of Dick as the walls are brought in ?



Dick's Momentum p

On average, measure $<\mathrm{p}>=0$
but there are quite large fluctuations!
Width of Distribution $=\Delta P$

$$
\Delta P=\sqrt{\left(P^{2}\right)_{\text {ave }}-\left(P_{\text {ave }}\right)^{2}} ; \quad \Delta P \sim \frac{\hbar}{L}
$$

