

if n < 0.5 the measurement is "improbable" and can be rejected according to Chauvenet's criterion



Chauvenet's criterion

Example Problem

A student makes 5 measurements of the period of a pendulum and gets T = 2.8, 2.5, 2.7, 2.7, 2.3 s.

Should any of these measurements be dropped?



Multiply by the number of trials to get the expected number of events that far off, $n = 5 \times 0.1336 \approx 0.67$

 $0.67 \ge 0.5 \rightarrow$ Do not drop this measurement (or any other)

Weighted Averages

A: $x = x_A \pm \sigma_A$ combining separate measurements: what is the best estimate for *x* ? B: $x = x_{R} \pm \sigma_{R}$ assume that measurements are governed by Gauss Prob_X(x_A) $\propto \frac{1}{\sigma_A} e^{-(x_A - X)^2/2\sigma_A^2}$ Prob_X(x_B) $\propto \frac{1}{\sigma_B} e^{-(x_B - X)^2/2\sigma_B^2}$ assume that measurements are governed by Gauss distribution with true value $X \ G_{X,\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x - X)^2/2\sigma^2}$ probability that A finds x_A $\operatorname{Prob}_X(x_A x_B) = \operatorname{Prob}_X(x_A) \cdot \operatorname{Prob}_X(x_B)$ \checkmark probability that A finds x_A and B finds x_B principle of maximum likelihood $\chi^{2} = \left(\frac{x_{A} - X}{\sigma}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{x_{B} - X}{\sigma}\right)^{2}$ the best estimate for *X* is that value for which $Prob_X(x_A, x_B)$ is maximum $\frac{d\chi^2}{dX} = 0 \implies -2\frac{x_A - X}{\sigma_A^2} - 2\frac{x_B - X}{\sigma_B^2} = 0$ chi squared – "sum of squares" (best estimate for X) = $\left(\frac{x_A}{\sigma_A^2} + \frac{x_B}{\sigma_B^2}\right) / \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_A^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_B^2}\right)$ find minimum of χ^2 method of least squares $=\frac{w_A x_A + w_B x_B}{w_A + w_B} = x_{wav} \quad \blacktriangleleft \quad \text{weighted average}$ $w_A = \frac{1}{\sigma_A^2}$ $w_B = \frac{1}{\sigma_-^2}$ weights

Weighted Averages

 $x_1, x_2, ..., x_N$ - measurements of a single quantity x with uncertainties $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_N$

$$x_{1} \pm \sigma_{1}, x_{2} \pm \sigma_{2}, ..., x_{N} \pm \sigma_{N}$$

$$x_{wav} = \frac{\sum w_{i} x_{i}}{\sum w_{i}}$$

$$w_{i} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{i}^{2}}$$

$$\sigma_{wav} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum w_{i}}}$$

$$w_{i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum w_{i}}}$$

$$weights$$

$$weights$$

$$weights$$

$$weights$$

$$weights$$

$$weights$$

$$weights$$

Example of Weighted Average

 $R_{i} = II \pm I \quad (\mathcal{R})$ three measurements of a resistance $R_2 = 12 \pm 1$ what is the best estimate for *R*? $R_{3} = 10 \pm 3$ $R_{WAV} = \frac{\sum w_i R_i}{\sum w_i} = \frac{(1 \times 11) + (1 \times 12) + (\frac{1}{9} \times 10)}{1 + 1 + \frac{1}{9}} = 11.42 \Omega$ $R = 11.4 \pm 0.7 \Omega$

Least-Squares Fitting

consider two variables x and y that are connected by a linear relation



graphical method of finding the best straight line to fit a series of experimental points

$$\begin{array}{cccc} x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N \\ y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N \end{array} \longrightarrow \text{ find } A \text{ and } B \end{array}$$

analytical method of finding the best straight line to fit a series of experimental points is called <u>linear regression</u> or <u>the least-squares fit for a line</u>

Calculation of the Constants A and B



Uncertainties in y, A, and B





$$\sigma_{y} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_{i} - A - Bx_{i})^{2}}$$
$$\sigma_{A} = \sigma_{y} \sqrt{\frac{\sum x^{2}}{\Delta}}$$
$$\sigma_{B} = \sigma_{y} \sqrt{\frac{N}{\Delta}}$$

uncertainty in the measurement of y

uncertainties in the constants A and B

given by error propagation in terms of uncertainties in y_1, \ldots, y_N

Example of Calculation of the Constants A and B



Example Problem

Two students measure the radius of a planet and get final answers

 R_A =25,000±3,000 km and R_B =19,000±2,500 km.

(a) Assuming all errors are independent and random, what is the discrepancy and what is its uncertainty?

(b) Assuming all quantities are normally distributed as expected, what would be the probability that the two measurements would disagree by more than this?

Do you consider the discrepancy in the measurements significant (at the 5% level)?

(a)
$$R_A - R_B = 25,000 - 19,000 = 6,000 km$$

 $\sigma_{R_A - R_B} = \sqrt{\sigma_{R_A}^2 + \sigma_{R_B}^2} = \sqrt{3,000^2 + 2,500^2} = 3,905 km \rightarrow 4,000 km$
 $R_A - R_B = 6,000 \pm 4,000 km$
(b) $t = \frac{6,000}{4,000} = 1.5$

<u>Table A:</u> Probability to be within 1.5σ is 86.64 % ≈ 87 %. Therefore, the probability that the two measurements would disagree by more than this is 100 - 87 = 13 %.

The discrepancy in the measurements is not significant (at the 5% level).

Example Problem

Two students measure the radius of a planet and get final answers $P_{-25,000+2,000}$ is and $P_{-10,000+2,500}$ is

 R_A =25,000±3,000 km and R_B =19,000±2,500 km.

The best estimate of the true radius of a planet is the weighted average. Find the best estimate of the true radius of a planet and the error in that estimate.

$$x_{wav} = \frac{w_A x_A + w_B x_B}{w_A + w_B} \qquad w_A = \frac{1}{\sigma_A^2} \qquad w_B = \frac{1}{\sigma_B^2} \qquad \sigma_{wav} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{w_A + w_B}}$$
$$R_{wav} = \frac{\frac{R_A}{\sigma_A^2} + \frac{R_B}{\sigma_B^2}}{\frac{1}{\sigma_A^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_B^2}} = \frac{\frac{25,000}{3,000^2} + \frac{19,000}{2,500^2}}{\frac{1}{3,000^2} + \frac{1}{2,500^2}} = 21,459 km \rightarrow \underline{21,500 km}$$
$$\sigma_{wav} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{\sigma_A^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_B^2}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{3,000^2} + \frac{1}{2,500^2}}} = 1,921 km \rightarrow \underline{1,900 km}$$
$$R_{wav} = 21,500 \pm 1,900 km$$