#### Physics 214 UCSD/225a UCSB Lecture 9

- Outlook for remainder of quarter
- Halzen & Martin Chapter 3
- Start of Halzen & Martin Chapter 4

### **Outlook for remaining Quarter**

- From now on I will follow H&M more closely.
- We'll basically cover chapters 3,4,5,6
  - A lot of this should be a review of things you have seen already either in advanced QM or intro QFT.
  - Accordingly, I'll be brief at times, and expect you to read up on it as needed !!!
- Then skip chapter 7.
- Then parts of 8,9,10, and 11, where I am not yet sure as to the order I'll do them in.
- Some of this we won't get to until next quarter.

#### (non-)relativistic Schroedinger Eq.

• Nonrelativistic  $E = p^2/(2m)$ • Relativistic  $E^2 = p^2 + m^2$ 

$$\left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\nabla^2}{2m}\right)\psi = 0 \qquad \qquad -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\phi = \left(-\nabla^2 + m^2\right)\phi$$

In both cases we replace:

$$E \rightarrow i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$
$$p \rightarrow -i \nabla$$

#### **Covariant Notation**

$$A^{\mu} = (A^{0}, \mathbf{A}); A_{\mu} = (A^{0}, -\mathbf{A})$$

$$A^{\mu}B_{\mu} = A^0B^0 - AB$$

The derivative 4-vector is given by:

$$\begin{split} \partial^{\mu} &= \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, -\nabla \right) \\ \partial_{\mu} &= \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \nabla \right) \end{split}$$

With: 
$$\square^2 = \partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu}$$
  
We then get the Klein-Gordon  
Equation as: ( $\square^2 + m^2$ )  $\phi = 0$ 

### **Continuity Equation**

- For scattering, we need to understand the probability density flux J, as well as the probability density ρ.
- Conservation of probability leads to:



### (non-)relativistic Continuity Eq.

Nonrelativistic
 Relativistic

$$\rho = |\psi|^{2} \qquad \rho = i \left( \phi^{*} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \phi \frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial t} \right)$$
$$J = \frac{-i}{2m} \left( \psi^{*} \nabla \psi - \psi \nabla \psi^{*} \right) \qquad J = -i \left( \phi^{*} \nabla \phi - \phi \nabla \phi^{*} \right)$$

In both cases we have plane wave solutions as:

$$\phi(t,\vec{x}) = Ne^{-ip_{\mu}x^{\mu}}$$

#### **Covariant Notation**

 $j^{\mu} = \left(\rho, \vec{j}\right)$ 

Transforms like a 4-vector

 $\partial^{\mu} j_{\mu} = 0$ 

Covariant continuity equation

For the plane wave solutions we find:

$$\rho = 2E |N|^{2} \\ \vec{j} = 2\vec{p} |N|^{2}$$

### Why $\rho{\propto}\text{E}$ ?

 $\rho d^3x$  = constant under lorentz transformations

However,  $d^3x$  gets lorentz contracted. Therefore,  $\rho$  must transform time-like, i.e. dilate.

$$d^{3}x \rightarrow d^{3}x \cdot \sqrt{1 - v^{2}}$$
$$\rho \rightarrow \rho / \sqrt{1 - v^{2}}$$

# Energy Eigenvalues of K.G. Eq. $(\square^{2} + m^{2}) \phi = 0$ Or $E^{2} = p^{2} + m^{2}$ $\downarrow$ $E = \pm \sqrt{p^{2} + m^{2}}$

**Positive and negative energy solutions !** 

### Feynman-Stueckelberg Interpretation

- Positive energy particle moving forwards in time.
- Negative energy antiparticles moving backwards in time.
- ⇒ Absorption of positron with -E is the same as emission of electron with +E.
- ⇒ In both cases charge of system increases while energy decreases.

Encourage you to read up on this in chapters 3.4 & 3.5 of H&M.

- Will get back to discussing negative energy solutions after we understand scattering in a potential.
- Will use scattering in a potential to discuss perturbation theory.
  - Assume potential is finite in space.
  - Incoming and outgoing states are free-particle solutions "far enough" away from potential.
  - Assume V is a small perturbation throughout such that free particle, i.e. plane wave starting point is a meaningful approximation.

#### Nonrelativistic Perturbation Theory

• Assume we know the complete set of eigenstates of the free-particle Schroedinger Equation:  $H\phi_n = E_n\phi$ 

$$\int_{Vol} \phi_n^* \phi_m d^3 x = \delta_{nm}$$

 Now solve Schroedinger Eq. in the presence of a small perturbation V(x,t):

$$(H+V)\psi = i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}$$

## Any solution can be expressed as: $\psi = \sum_{n} a_n(t)\phi_n(x)e^{-iE_nt}$ Plug this into Sch.Eq. and you get:

$$i\sum_{n} \frac{da_n(t)}{dt} \phi_n(x) e^{-iE_n t} = V(x,t) \sum_{n} a_n(t) \phi_n(x) e^{-iE_n t}$$

$$\frac{da_f(t)}{dt} = -i\sum_n a_n(t)e^{i(E_f - E_n)t}\int \phi_f^* V \phi_n d^3x$$

# Assume V is small and "seen" for only a finite amount of time.

- At times long ago, the system is in eigenstate i of the free hamiltonian because it's far away from V.
- At times far in the future, the system is in eigenstate f of the free hamiltonian because it's far away from V.
- After integration over time, we thus get:

$$\frac{da_{f}}{dt} = -ie^{-i(E_{f}-E_{i})} \int d^{3}x \phi_{f}^{*} V \phi_{i} \quad <= \text{ starting point: } i \rightarrow f$$

$$V_{fi} \equiv \int d^{3}x \phi_{f}^{*} V(x) \phi_{i} \quad <= \text{ Assume V is time independent}$$

$$T_{fi} \equiv a_{f} = -iV_{fi} \int dt e^{-i(E_{f}-E_{i})} = -2\pi i V_{fi} \delta(E_{f}-E_{i})$$
Result of time integration.

## Meaning of $T_{fi} = -2\pi i V_{fi} \delta(E_f - E_i)$

- $\delta$ -function guarantees energy conservation.
- => Uncertainty principle guarantees that T<sub>fi</sub> is meaningful only as t -> infinity.
- We thus define a more meaningful quantity W, the *"transition amplitude per unit time"* by dividing with t, and then letting t -> infinity.

$$W = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{|T_{fi}|^2}{t} = 2\pi |V_{fi}|^2 \delta(E_f - E_i)$$

#### Aside

$$W = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{|T_{fi}|^2}{t} = \lim_{t \to \infty} 2\pi \frac{|V_{fi}|^2}{t} \delta(E_f - E_i) \int dt e^{-i(E_f - E_i)}$$

The second integral is basically t, and thus cancels with the 1/t, making the limit building trivial.

$$T_{fi}T_{fi}^{*} = (-iV_{fi}\int dte^{-i(E_{f}-E_{i})})(-iV_{fi}\int dte^{-i(E_{f}-E_{i})})^{*} = |T_{fi}|^{2} = 2\pi |V_{fi}|^{2}\delta(E_{f}-E_{i})\int dte^{-i(E_{f}-E_{i})}$$

#### Physically meaningful quantities

- The transition probability per unit time, W, becomes physically meaningful once you integrate over a set of initial and final states.
- Though typically, we start with a specific initial and a set of final states:

$$W_{fi} = 2\pi \int dE_f \rho(E_f) |V_{fi}|^2 \delta(E_f - E_i)$$
$$W_{fi} = 2\pi |V_{fi}|^2 \rho(E_i) \quad <= \text{Fermi's Golden Rule}$$

### Fermi's Golden Rule

- We find Fermi's Golden Rule as the leading order in perturbation theory.
- This begs the question, what's the next order, and how do we get it?
- In our lowest order approximation, we scattered from an initial state i to a final state f.
- The obvious improvement is to allow for double scattering from i to any n to f, and sum over all n.

#### Second Order



$$W_{fi} = 2\pi \left| V_{fi} + \sum_{n \neq i} V_{fn} \frac{1}{E_i - E_n + i\varepsilon} V_{ni} \right|^2 \rho(E_i)$$

#### What have we learned?

- For each interaction vertex we get a vertex factor  $V_{\rm fi}$  .
- For the propagation via an intermediate state we gain a "propagator" factor 1/(E<sub>i</sub>-E<sub>n</sub>).
- The intermediate state is virtual, and thus does not require energy conservation.
- However, energy is conserved between initial and final state.

$$W_{fi} = 2\pi \left| V_{fi} + \sum_{n \neq i} V_{fn} \frac{1}{E_i - E_n + i\varepsilon} V_{ni} \right|^2 \rho(E_i)$$

#### Photon absorption by Particle vs Antiparticle

- Particle scatter in field
- $$\begin{split} p_i &= (E_1, \overrightarrow{p_1}) & p_i = (-E_2, -\overrightarrow{p_2}) \\ p_f &= (E_2, \overrightarrow{p_2}) & p_f = (-E_1, -\overrightarrow{p_1}) \\ T_{fi} &\approx \int dt \phi_f^* V \phi_i \approx \int dt e^{iE_2 t} e^{-i\omega t} e^{-iE_1 t} & T_{fi} \approx \int dt \phi_f^* V \phi_i \approx \int dt e^{i(-E_1)t} e^{-i\omega t} e^{-i(-E_2)t} \\ &\approx \delta(E_2 (\omega + E_1)) & \approx \delta(E_2 (\omega + E_1)) \end{split}$$

Antipart. scatter in field

#### Particle and antiparticle have the same interaction with EM field.

#### Pair Creation from this potential

$$\begin{split} p_i &= (-E_1, -\overrightarrow{p_1}) \\ p_f &= (E_2, \overrightarrow{p_2}) \\ T_{fi} &\approx \int dt \phi_f^* V \phi_i \approx \int dt e^{iE_2 t} e^{-i\omega t} e^{-i(-E_1)t} \\ &\approx \delta(E_2 + E_1 - \omega) \end{split}$$

Energy is conserved as it should be.

This wave function formalism is thus capable of describing particles, antiparticles, and pair production.

#### "Rules"

- Antiparticles get arrow that is backwards in time.
- "Incoming" and "outgoing" is defined by how the arrows point to the vertex.
- Antiparticles get negative energy assigned.

#### H&M Chapter 4

- Electrodynamics of Spinless particles
  - We replace  $p^{\mu}$  with  $p^{\mu}$  + eA^{\mu} in classical EM for a particle of charge -e moving in an EM potential A^{\mu}

– In QM, this translates into:

$$i\partial^{\mu} \rightarrow i\partial^{\mu} + eA^{\mu}$$

– And thus to the modified Klein Gordon Equation:

$$\left(\partial^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} + m^{2}\right)\phi = -V\phi$$
$$V = -ie(\partial^{\mu}A_{\mu} + A^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}) - e^{2}A^{2}$$

V here is the potential energy of the perturbation.

#### Take results form Perturbation

$$V = -ie(\partial^{\mu}A_{\mu} + A^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}) - e^{2}A^{2}$$

$$T_{fi} = -i\int \phi_{f}^{*}V(x)\phi_{i}d^{4}x \leq \text{covariant form} \text{Integrate by parts}$$

$$T_{fi} = i\int \phi_{f}^{*}ie(A^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} + \partial^{\mu}A_{\mu})\phi_{i}d^{4}x + O(e^{2})$$

$$T_{fi} = i\int ie(\phi_{f}^{*}(\partial_{\mu}\phi_{i}) - (\partial_{\mu}\phi_{f}^{*})\phi_{i})A^{\mu}d^{4}x + O(e^{2})$$

$$T_{fi} = -i\int J_{\mu}A^{\mu}d^{4}x + O(e^{2}) \quad \text{EM current for } i \rightarrow f \text{ transition.}$$

$$J_{\mu} = -ie(\phi_{f}^{*}(\partial_{\mu}\phi_{i}) - (\partial_{\mu}\phi_{f}^{*})\phi_{i})$$

$$J_{\mu} = -eN_{i}N_{f}(p_{i} + p_{f})e^{i(p_{f} - p_{i})x}$$

$$Using plane wave solutions$$

#### Aside on current

Regular current we talked about in the beginning today:

$$\rho = 2E |N|^{2}$$
  
$$\vec{j} = 2\vec{p} |N|^{2}$$
$$J^{\mu} = 2p^{\mu} |N|^{2}$$

Transition current from i to f:

$$J_{\mu} = -ie \Big( \phi_f^* (\partial_{\mu} \phi_i) - (\partial_{\mu} \phi_f^*) \phi_i \Big)$$
$$J_{\mu} = -e N_i N_f (p_i + p_f) e^{i(p_f - p_i)x}$$

The difference is that for regular current i=f , and the wave function piece cancels as a result.

#### Electron Muon Scattering Overview

- Use what we just did

   Electron scattering in EM field
- With the field being the one generated by the muon as source.
  - Use covariant form of maxwell's equation in Lorentz Gauge to get V, the perturbation potential.
- Plug it into T<sub>fi</sub>
- Then head into more general discussion of how to express cross section in terms of invariant amplitude (or "Matrix Element").

Electron Muon scattering  

$$\Box^{2} A^{\mu} = J^{\mu}_{(2)} \text{ Maxwell Equation}$$

$$J^{\mu}_{(2)} = -eN_{B}N_{D}(p_{D} + p_{B})^{\mu}e^{i(p_{D} - p_{B})x}$$

$$A^{\mu} = -\frac{1}{q^{2}}J^{\mu}_{(2)} = q$$

$$T_{fi} = -i\int J^{(1)}_{\mu}\frac{-1}{q^{2}}J^{\mu}_{(2)}d^{4}x \quad \text{Note the symmetry: (1) <-> (2)}$$

$$T_{fi} = -iN_{A}N_{B}N_{C}N_{D}(2\pi)^{4}\delta^{(4)}(p_{D} + p_{C} - p_{A} - p_{B})M$$

$$-iM = (ie(p_{A} + p_{C})^{\mu})\frac{-ig_{\mu\nu}}{q^{2}}(ie(p_{D} + p_{B})^{\nu})$$

Note the structure: Vertex x propagator x Vertex