## Chapter 7

## Noether's Theorem

### 7.1 Continuous Symmetry Implies Conserved Charges

Consider a particle moving in two dimensions under the influence of an external potential $U(r)$. The potential is a function only of the magnitude of the vector $\boldsymbol{r}$. The Lagrangian is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=T-U=\frac{1}{2} m\left(\dot{r}^{2}+r^{2} \dot{\phi}^{2}\right)-U(r), \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have chosen generalized coordinates $(r, \phi)$. The momentum conjugate to $\phi$ is $p_{\phi}=m r^{2} \dot{\phi}$. The generalized force $F_{\phi}$ clearly vanishes, since $L$ does not depend on the coordinate $\phi$. (One says that $L$ is 'cyclic' in $\phi$.) Thus, although $r=r(t)$ and $\phi=\phi(t)$ will in general be time-dependent, the combination $p_{\phi}=m r^{2} \dot{\phi}$ is constant. This is the conserved angular momentum about the $\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$ axis.

In general, whenever the system exhibits a continuous symmetry, there is an associated conserved charge. (The terminology 'charge' is from field theory.) Indeed, this is a rigorous result, known as Noether's Theorem. Consider a one-parameter family of transformations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\sigma} \longrightarrow \tilde{q}_{\sigma}(q, \zeta), \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta$ is the continuous parameter. Suppose further (without loss of generality) that at $\zeta=0$ this transformation is the identity, i.e. $\tilde{q}_{\sigma}(q, \zeta)=q_{\sigma}$. The transformation may be nonlinear in the generalized coordinates. Suppose further that the Lagrangian
$L$ s invariant under the replacement $q \rightarrow \tilde{q}$. Then we must have

$$
\begin{align*}
0=\left.\frac{d}{d \zeta}\right|_{\zeta=0} L(\tilde{q}, \dot{\tilde{q}}, t) & =\left.\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{\sigma}} \frac{\partial \tilde{q}_{\sigma}}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\zeta=0}+\left.\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\sigma}} \frac{\partial \dot{\tilde{q}}_{\sigma}}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\zeta=0} \\
& =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\sigma}}\right) \frac{\partial \tilde{q}_{\sigma}}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\zeta=0}+\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\sigma}} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{q}_{\sigma}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{\zeta=0} \\
& =\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\sigma}} \frac{\partial \tilde{q}_{\sigma}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{\zeta=0} . \tag{7.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, there is an associated conserved charge

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=\left.\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\sigma}} \frac{\partial \tilde{q}_{\sigma}}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\zeta=0} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 7.1.1 Examples of one-parameter families of transformations

Consider the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2} m\left(\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}\right)-U\left(\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}\right) . \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In two-dimensional polar coordinates, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2} m\left(\dot{r}^{2}+r^{2} \dot{\phi}^{2}\right)-U(r), \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we may now define

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{r}(\zeta) & =r  \tag{7.7}\\
\tilde{\phi}(\zeta) & =\phi+\zeta \tag{7.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\tilde{r}(0)=r$ and $\tilde{\phi}(0)=\phi$, i.e. the transformation is the identity when $\zeta=0$. We now have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=\left.\sum_{\sigma} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\sigma}} \frac{\partial \tilde{q}_{\sigma}}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\zeta=0}=\left.\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{r}} \frac{\partial \tilde{r}}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\zeta=0}+\left.\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\zeta=0}=m r^{2} \dot{\phi} \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another way to derive the same result which is somewhat instructive is to work out the transformation in Cartesian coordinates. We then have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{x}(\zeta)=x \cos \zeta-y \sin \zeta  \tag{7.10}\\
& \tilde{y}(\zeta)=x \sin \zeta+y \cos \zeta . \tag{7.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial \zeta}=-y(\zeta) \quad, \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{y}}{\partial \zeta}=x(\zeta) \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=\left.\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\zeta=0}+\left.\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{y}} \frac{\partial \tilde{y}}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\zeta=0}=m(x \dot{y}-y \dot{x}) \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(x \dot{y}-y \dot{x})=m \hat{\boldsymbol{z}} \cdot \boldsymbol{r} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}=m r^{2} \dot{\phi} . \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

As another example, consider the potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(\rho, \phi, z)=V(\rho, a \phi+z) \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\rho, \phi, z)$ are cylindrical coordinates for a particle of mass $m$, and where $a$ is a constant with dimensions of length. The Lagrangian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} m\left(\dot{\rho}^{2}+\rho^{2} \dot{\phi}^{2}+\dot{x}^{2}\right)-V(\rho, a \phi+z) \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This model possesses a helical symmetry, with a one-parameter family

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\rho}(\zeta)=\rho  \tag{7.17}\\
& \tilde{\phi}(\zeta)=\phi+\zeta  \tag{7.18}\\
& \tilde{z}(\zeta)=z-\zeta a \tag{7.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \tilde{\phi}+\tilde{z}=a \phi+z \tag{7.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the potential energy, and the Lagrangian as well, is invariant under this oneparameter family of transformations. The conserved charge for this symmetry is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=\left.\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\rho}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\zeta=0}+\left.\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\zeta=0}+\left.\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{z}} \frac{\partial \tilde{z}}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\zeta=0}=m \rho^{2} \dot{\phi}-m a \dot{z} \tag{7.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can check explicitly that $\Lambda$ is conserved, using the equations of motion

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}}=\frac{d}{d t}\left(m \rho^{2} \dot{\phi}\right) & =\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi}=-a \frac{\partial V}{\partial z}  \tag{7.22}\\
\frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}}=\frac{d}{d t}(m \dot{z}) & =\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi}=-\frac{\partial V}{\partial z} \tag{7.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\Lambda}=\frac{d}{d t}\left(m \rho^{2} \dot{\phi}\right)-a \frac{d}{d t}(m \dot{z})=0 \tag{7.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 7.2 Conservation of Linear and Angular Momentum

Suppose that the Lagrangian of a mechanical system is invariant under a uniform translation of all particles in the $\hat{\boldsymbol{n}}$ direction. Then our one-parameter family of transformations is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{a}=\boldsymbol{x}_{a}+\zeta \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \tag{7.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the associated conserved Noether charge is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=\sum_{a} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{a}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}=\hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{P} \tag{7.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{P}=\sum_{a} \boldsymbol{p}_{a}$ is the total momentum of the system.
If the Lagrangian of a mechanical system is invariant under rotations about an axis $\hat{\boldsymbol{n}}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{a} & =R(\zeta, \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}) \boldsymbol{x}_{a} \\
& =\boldsymbol{x}_{a}+\zeta \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \times \boldsymbol{x}_{a}+\mathcal{O}\left(\zeta^{2}\right), \tag{7.27}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have expanded the rotation matrix $R(\zeta, \hat{\boldsymbol{n}})$ in powers of $\zeta$. The conserved Noether charge associated with this symmetry is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=\sum_{a} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{a}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \times \boldsymbol{x}_{a}=\hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \cdot \sum_{a} \boldsymbol{x}_{a} \times \boldsymbol{p}_{a}=\hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{L} \tag{7.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{L}$ is the total angular momentum of the system.

### 7.3 Advanced discussion : Invariance of $L$ vs. invariance of $S$

Observant readers might object that demanding invariance of $L$ is too strict. We should instead be demanding invariance of the action $S^{1}$. Suppose $S$ is invariant under

$$
\begin{align*}
t & \rightarrow \tilde{t}(q, t, \zeta)  \tag{7.29}\\
q_{\sigma}(t) & \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{\sigma}(q, t, \zeta) . \tag{7.30}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]Then invariance of $S$ means

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int_{t_{a}}^{t_{b}} d t L(q, \dot{q}, t)=\int_{\tilde{t}_{a}}^{\tilde{t}_{b}} d t L(\tilde{q}, \dot{\tilde{q}}, t) \tag{7.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $t$ is a dummy variable of integration, so it doesn't matter whether we call it $t$ or $\tilde{t}$. The endpoints of the integral, however, do change under the transformation. Now consider an infinitesimal transformation, for which $\delta t=\tilde{t}-t$ and $\delta q=\tilde{q}(\tilde{t})-q(t)$ are both small. Invariance of $S$ means

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int_{t_{a}}^{t_{b}} d t L(q, \dot{q}, t)=\int_{t_{a}+\delta t_{a}}^{t_{b}+\delta t_{b}} d t\left\{L(q, \dot{q}, t)+\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{\sigma}} \bar{\delta} q_{\sigma}+\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\sigma}} \bar{\delta} \dot{q}_{\sigma}+\ldots\right\} \tag{7.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\delta} q_{\sigma}(t) & \equiv \tilde{q}_{\sigma}(t)-q_{\sigma}(t) \\
& =\tilde{q}_{\sigma}(\tilde{t})-\tilde{q}_{\sigma}(\tilde{t})+\tilde{q}_{\sigma}(t)-q_{\sigma}(t) \\
& =\delta q_{\sigma}-\dot{q}_{\sigma} \delta t+\mathcal{O}(\delta q \delta t) \tag{7.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting the top line from the bottom, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =L_{b} \delta t_{b}-L_{a} \delta t_{a}+\left.\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\sigma}}\right|_{b} \bar{\delta} q_{\sigma, b}-\left.\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\sigma}}\right|_{a} \bar{\delta} q_{\sigma, a}+\int_{t_{a}+\delta t_{a}}^{t_{b}+\delta t_{b}} d t\left\{\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{\sigma}}-\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\sigma}}\right)\right\} \bar{\delta} q(t) \\
& =\int_{t_{a}}^{t_{b}} d t \frac{d}{d t}\left\{\left(L-\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\sigma}} \dot{q}_{\sigma}\right) \delta t+\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\sigma}} \delta q_{\sigma}\right\} . \tag{7.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, if $\zeta \equiv \delta \zeta$ is infinitesimal, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta t & =A(q, t) \delta \zeta  \tag{7.35}\\
\delta q_{\sigma} & =B_{\sigma}(q, t) \delta \zeta \tag{7.36}
\end{align*}
$$

then the conserved charge is

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda & =\left(L-\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\sigma}} \dot{q}_{\sigma}\right) A(q, t)+\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\sigma}} B_{\sigma}(q, t) \\
& =-H(q, p, t) A(q, t)+p_{\sigma} B_{\sigma}(q, t) \tag{7.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, when $A=0$, we recover our earlier results, obtained by assuming invariance of $L$. Note that conservation of $H$ follows from time translation invariance: $t \rightarrow t+\zeta$, for which $A=1$ and $B_{\sigma}=0$. Here we have written

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=p_{\sigma} \dot{q}_{\sigma}-L, \tag{7.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and expressed it in terms of the momenta $p_{\sigma}$, the coordinates $q_{s} i g m a$, and time $t$. $H$ is called the Hamiltonian.

### 7.3.1 The Hamiltonian

The Lagrangian is a function of generalized coordinates, velocities, and time. The canonical momentum conjugate to the generalized coordinate $q_{\sigma}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\sigma}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\sigma}} . \tag{7.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hamiltonian is a function of coordinates, momenta, and time. It is defined as the Legendre transform of $L$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(q, p, t)=\sum_{\sigma} p_{\sigma} \dot{q}_{\sigma}-L \tag{7.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let's examine the differential of $H$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
d H & =\sum_{\sigma}\left(\dot{q}_{\sigma} d p_{\sigma}+p_{\sigma} d \dot{q}_{\sigma}-\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{\sigma}} d q_{\sigma}-\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\sigma}} d \dot{q}_{\sigma}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} d t \\
& =\sum_{\sigma}\left(\dot{q}_{\sigma} d p_{\sigma}-\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{\sigma}} d q_{\sigma}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} d t \tag{7.41}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have invoked the definition of $p_{\sigma}$ to cancel the coefficients of $d \dot{q}_{\sigma}$. Since $\dot{p}_{\sigma}=\partial L / \partial q_{\sigma}$, we have Hamilton's equations of motion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{q}_{\sigma}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{\sigma}} \quad, \quad \dot{p}_{\sigma}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{\sigma}} . \tag{7.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
d H=\sum_{\sigma}\left(\dot{q}_{\sigma} d p_{\sigma}-\dot{p}_{\sigma} d q_{\sigma}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} d t \tag{7.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing by $d t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d H}{d t}=-\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} \tag{7.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

which says that the Hamiltonian is conserved (i.e. it does not change with time) whenever there is no explicit time dependence to $L$.

Example \#1: For a simple $d=1$ system with $L=\frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^{2}-U(x)$, we have $p=m \dot{x}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=p \dot{x}-L=\frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^{2}+U(x)=\frac{p^{2}}{2 m}+U(x) \tag{7.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example \#2 : Consider now the mass point - wedge system analyzed above, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2}(M+m) \dot{X}^{2}+m \dot{X} \dot{x}+\frac{1}{2} m\left(1+\tan ^{2} \alpha\right) \dot{x}^{2}-m g x \tan \alpha, \tag{7.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical momenta are

$$
\begin{align*}
P & =\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}}=(M+m) \dot{X}+m \dot{x}  \tag{7.47}\\
p & =\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}}=m \dot{X}+m\left(1+\tan ^{2} \alpha\right) \dot{x} . \tag{7.48}
\end{align*}
$$

The Hamiltonian is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =P \dot{X}+p \dot{x}-L \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(M+m) \dot{X}^{2}+m \dot{X} \dot{x}+\frac{1}{2} m\left(1+\tan ^{2} \alpha\right) \dot{x}^{2}+m g x \tan \alpha \tag{7.49}
\end{align*}
$$

However, this is not quite $H$, since $H=H(X, x, P, p, t)$ must be expressed in terms of the coordinates and the momenta and not the coordinates and velocities. So we must eliminate $\dot{X}$ and $\dot{x}$ in favor of $P$ and $p$. We do this by inverting the relations

$$
\binom{P}{p}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
M+m & m  \tag{7.50}\\
m & m\left(1+\tan ^{2} \alpha\right)
\end{array}\right)\binom{\dot{X}}{\dot{x}}
$$

to obtain

$$
\binom{\dot{X}}{\dot{x}}=\frac{1}{m\left(M+(M+m) \tan ^{2} \alpha\right)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m\left(1+\tan ^{2} \alpha\right) & -m  \tag{7.51}\\
-m & M+m
\end{array}\right)\binom{P}{p} .
$$

Substituting into 7.49, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{M+m}{2 m} \frac{P^{2} \cos ^{2} \alpha}{M+m \sin ^{2} \alpha}-\frac{P p \cos ^{2} \alpha}{M+m \sin ^{2} \alpha}+\frac{p^{2}}{2\left(M+m \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)}+m g x \tan \alpha \tag{7.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $\dot{P}=0$ since $\frac{\partial L}{\partial X}=0$. $P$ is the total horizontal momentum of the system (wedge plus particle) and it is conserved.

### 7.3.2 Is $H=T+U$ ?

The most general form of the kinetic energy is

$$
\begin{align*}
T & =T_{2}+T_{1}+T_{0} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} T_{\sigma \sigma^{\prime}}^{(2)}(q, t) \dot{q}_{\sigma} \dot{q}_{\sigma^{\prime}}+T_{\sigma}^{(1)}(q, t) \dot{q}_{\sigma}+T^{(0)}(q, t), \tag{7.53}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{n}(q, \dot{q}, t)$ is homogeneous of degree $n$ in the velocities ${ }^{2}$. We assume a potential energy of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
U & =U_{1}+U_{0} \\
& =U_{\sigma}^{(1)}(q, t) \dot{q}_{\sigma}+U^{(0)}(q, t), \tag{7.54}
\end{align*}
$$

which allows for velocity-dependent forces, as we have with charged particles moving in an electromagnetic field. The Lagrangian is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=T-U=\frac{1}{2} T_{\sigma \sigma^{\prime}}^{(2)}(q, t) \dot{q}_{\sigma} \dot{q}_{\sigma^{\prime}}+T_{\sigma}^{(1)}(q, t) \dot{q}_{\sigma}+T^{(0)}(q, t)-U_{\sigma}^{(1)}(q, t) \dot{q}_{\sigma}-U^{(0)}(q, t) . \tag{7.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have assumed $U(q, t)$ is velocity-independent, but the above form for $L=T-U$ is quite general. (E.g. any velocity-dependence in $U$ can be absorbed into the $B_{\sigma} \dot{q}_{\sigma}$ term.) The canonical momentum conjugate to $q_{\sigma}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\sigma}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\sigma}}=T_{\sigma \sigma^{\prime}}^{(2)} \dot{q}_{\sigma^{\prime}}+T_{\sigma}^{(1)}(q, t)-U_{\sigma}^{(1)}(q, t) \tag{7.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is inverted to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{q}_{\sigma}=T_{\sigma \sigma^{\prime}}^{(2)^{-1}}\left(p_{\sigma^{\prime}}-T_{\sigma^{\prime}}^{(1)}+U_{\sigma^{\prime}}^{(1)}\right) . \tag{7.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hamiltonian is then

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =p_{\sigma} \dot{q}_{\sigma}-L \\
& =\frac{1}{2} T_{\sigma \sigma^{\prime}}^{(2)}\left(p_{\sigma}-T_{\sigma}^{(1)}+U_{\sigma}^{(1)}\right)\left(p_{\sigma^{\prime}}-T_{\sigma^{\prime}}^{(1)}+U_{\sigma^{\prime}}^{(1)}\right)-T_{0}+U_{0}  \tag{7.58}\\
& =T_{2}-T_{0}+U_{0} . \tag{7.59}
\end{align*}
$$

If $T_{0}, T_{1}$, and $U_{1}$ vanish, i.e. if $T(q, \dot{q}, t)$ is a homogeneous function of degree two in the generalized velocities, and $U(q, t)$ is velocity-independent, then $H=T+U$. But if $T_{0}$ or $T_{1}$ is nonzero, or the potential is velocity-dependent, then $H \neq T+U$.
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Figure 7.1: A bead of mass $m$ on a rotating hoop of radius $a$.

### 7.3.3 Example: A Bead on a Rotating Hoop

Consider a bead of mass $m$ constrained to move along a hoop of radius $a$. The hoop is further constrained to rotate with angular velocity $\omega$ about the $\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$-axis, as shown in Fig. 7.1.

The most convenient set of generalized coordinates is spherical polar $(r, \theta, \phi)$, in which case

$$
\begin{align*}
T & =\frac{1}{2} m\left(\dot{r}^{2}+r^{2} \dot{\theta}^{2}+r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \dot{\phi}^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} m a^{2}\left(\dot{\theta}^{2}+\omega^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right) . \tag{7.60}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $T_{2}=\frac{1}{2} m a^{2} \dot{\theta}^{2}$ and $T_{0}=\frac{1}{2} m a^{2} \omega^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta$. The potential energy is $U(\theta)=m g a(1-$ $\cos \theta$ ). The momentum conjugate to $\theta$ is $p_{\theta}=m a^{2} \dot{\theta}$, and thus

$$
\begin{align*}
H(\theta, p) & =T_{2}-T_{0}+U \\
& =\frac{1}{2} m a^{2} \dot{\theta}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} m a^{2} \omega^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta+m g a(1-\cos \theta) \\
& =\frac{p_{\theta}^{2}}{2 m a^{2}}-\frac{1}{2} m a^{2} \omega^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta+m g a(1-\cos \theta) \tag{7.61}
\end{align*}
$$

For this problem, we can define the effective potential

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{\mathrm{eff}}(\theta) \equiv U-T_{0} & =m g a(1-\cos \theta)-\frac{1}{2} m a^{2} \omega^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \\
& =m g a\left(1-\cos \theta-\frac{\omega^{2}}{2 \omega_{0}^{2}} \sin ^{2} \theta\right), \tag{7.62}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega_{0} \equiv g / a^{2}$. The Lagrangian may then be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2} m a^{2} \dot{\theta}^{2}-U_{\mathrm{eff}}(\theta) \tag{7.63}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 7.2: The effective potential $U_{\text {eff }}(\theta)=m g a\left[1-\cos \theta-\frac{\omega^{2}}{2 \omega_{0}^{2}} \sin ^{2} \theta\right]$. (The dimensionless potential $\tilde{U}_{\text {eff }}(x)=U_{\text {eff }} / m g a$ is shown, where $x=\theta / \pi$.) Left panels: $\omega=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} \omega_{0}$. Right panels: $\omega=\sqrt{3} \omega_{0}$.
and thus the equations of motion are

$$
\begin{equation*}
m a^{2} \ddot{\theta}=-\frac{\partial U_{\mathrm{eff}}}{\partial \theta} \tag{7.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equilibrium is achieved when $U_{\text {eff }}^{\prime}(\theta)=0$, which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial U_{\mathrm{eff}}}{\partial \theta}=m g a \sin \theta\left\{1-\frac{\omega^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}} \cos \theta\right\}=0 \tag{7.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. $\theta^{*}=0, \theta^{*}=\pi$, or $\theta^{*}= \pm \cos ^{-1}\left(\omega_{0}^{2} / \omega^{2}\right)$, where the last pair of equilibria are present only for $\omega^{2}>\omega_{0}^{2}$. The stability of these equilibria is assessed by examining the sign of $U_{\text {eff }}^{\prime \prime}\left(\theta^{*}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\prime \prime}(\theta)=m g a\left\{\cos \theta-\frac{\omega^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}}\left(2 \cos ^{2} \theta-1\right)\right\} . \tag{7.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
U_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\prime \prime}\left(\theta^{*}\right)= \begin{cases}m g a\left(1-\frac{\omega^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}}\right) & \text { at } \theta^{*}=0  \tag{7.67}\\ -m g a\left(1+\frac{\omega^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}}\right) & \text { at } \theta^{*}=\pi \\ m g a\left(\frac{\omega^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}}-\frac{\omega_{0}^{2}}{\omega^{2}}\right) & \text { at } \theta^{*}= \pm \cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega_{0}^{2}}{\omega^{2}}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

Thus, $\theta^{*}=0$ is stable for $\omega^{2}<\omega_{0}^{2}$ but becomes unstable when the rotation frequency $\omega$ is sufficiently large, i.e. when $\omega^{2}>\omega_{0}^{2}$. In this regime, there are two new equilibria, at $\theta^{*}= \pm \cos ^{-1}\left(\omega_{0}^{2} / \omega^{2}\right)$, which are both stable. The equilibrium at $\theta^{*}=\pi$ is always unstable, independent of the value of $\omega$. The situation is depicted in Fig. 7.2.

### 7.4 Charged Particle in a Magnetic Field

Consider next the case of a charged particle moving in the presence of an electromagnetic field. The particle's potential energy is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(\boldsymbol{r})=q \phi(\boldsymbol{r}, t)-\frac{q}{c} \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{r}, t) \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}, \tag{7.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is velocity-dependent. The kinetic energy is $T=\frac{1}{2} m \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}^{2}$, as usual. Here $\phi(\boldsymbol{r})$ is the scalar potential and $\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{r})$ the vector potential. The electric and magnetic fields are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{E}=-\boldsymbol{\nabla} \phi-\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{A}}{\partial t} \quad, \quad \boldsymbol{B}=\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{A} \tag{7.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical momentum is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{p}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}}=m \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}+\frac{q}{c} \boldsymbol{A} \tag{7.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence the Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{align*}
H(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{p}, t) & =\boldsymbol{p} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}-L \\
& =m \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}^{2}+\frac{q}{c} \boldsymbol{A} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}-\frac{1}{2} m \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}^{2}-\frac{q}{c} \boldsymbol{A} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}+q \phi \\
& =\frac{1}{2} m \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}^{2}+q \phi \\
& =\frac{1}{2 m}\left(\boldsymbol{p}-\frac{q}{c} \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)\right)^{2}+q \phi(\boldsymbol{r}, t) . \tag{7.71}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\phi$ are time-independent, then $H(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{p})$ is conserved.

Let's work out the equations of motion. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}}\right)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}} \tag{7.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}+\frac{q}{c} \frac{d \boldsymbol{A}}{d t}=-q \boldsymbol{\nabla} \phi+\frac{q}{c} \boldsymbol{\nabla}(\boldsymbol{A} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}) \tag{7.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, in component notation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \ddot{x}_{i}+\frac{q}{c} \frac{\partial A_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \dot{x}_{j}+\frac{q}{c} \frac{\partial A_{i}}{\partial t}=-q \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{q}{c} \frac{\partial A_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \dot{x}_{j} \tag{7.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is to say

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \ddot{x}_{i}=-q \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{q}{c} \frac{\partial A_{i}}{\partial t}+\frac{q}{c}\left(\frac{\partial A_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{\partial A_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) \dot{x}_{j} . \tag{7.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to express the cross product in terms of the completely antisymmetric tensor of rank three, $\epsilon_{i j k}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i}=\epsilon_{i j k} \frac{\partial A_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} \tag{7.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{i j k} \epsilon_{i m n}=\delta_{j m} \delta_{k n}-\delta_{j n} \delta_{k m} \tag{7.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have $\epsilon_{i j k} B_{i}=\partial_{j} A_{k}-\partial_{k} A_{j}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \ddot{x}_{i}=-q \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{q}{c} \frac{\partial A_{i}}{\partial t}+\frac{q}{c} \epsilon_{i j k} \dot{x}_{j} B_{k} \tag{7.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, in vector notation,

$$
\begin{align*}
m \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}} & =-q \boldsymbol{\nabla} \phi-\frac{q}{c} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{A}}{\partial t}+\frac{q}{c} \dot{\boldsymbol{r}} \times(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{A}) \\
& =q \boldsymbol{E}+\frac{q}{c} \dot{\boldsymbol{r}} \times \boldsymbol{B} \tag{7.79}
\end{align*}
$$

which is, of course, the Lorentz force law.

### 7.5 Field Theory: Systems with Several Independent Variables

Suppose $\phi_{a}(\boldsymbol{x})$ depends on several independent variables: $\left\{x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{n}\right\}$. Furthermore, suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left[\left\{\phi_{a}(\boldsymbol{x})\right]=\int_{\Omega} d \boldsymbol{x} \mathcal{L}\left(\phi_{a} \partial_{\mu} \phi_{a}, \boldsymbol{x}\right)\right. \tag{7.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ is a function of the fields $\phi_{a}$ and their partial derivatives $\partial \phi_{a} / \partial x^{\mu}$. Here $\Omega$ is a region in $\mathrm{R}^{K}$. Then the first variation of $S$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta S & =\int_{\Omega} d \boldsymbol{x}\left\{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_{a}} \delta \phi_{a}+\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi_{a}\right)} \frac{\partial \delta \phi_{a}}{\partial x^{\mu}}\right\} \\
& =\oint_{\partial \Omega} d \Sigma n^{\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi_{a}\right)} \delta \phi_{a}-\int_{\Omega} d \boldsymbol{x}\left\{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_{a}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi_{a}\right)}\right)\right\} \delta \phi_{a} \tag{7.81}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\partial \Omega$ is the ( $n-1$ )-dimensional boundary of $\Omega, d \Sigma$ is the differential surface area, and $n^{\mu}$ is the unit normal. If we demand $\partial \mathcal{L} /\left.\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi_{a}\right)\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0$ of $\left.\delta \phi_{a}\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0$, the surface term vanishes, and we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi_{a}(\boldsymbol{x})}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_{a}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi_{a}\right)}\right) \tag{7.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an example, consider the case of a stretched string of linear mass density $\mu$ and tension $\tau$. The action is a functional of the height $y(x, t)$, where the coordinate along the string, $x$, and time, $t$, are the two independent variables. The Lagrangian density is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2} \mu\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial t}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \tau\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \tag{7.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence the Euler-Lagrange equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
0=\frac{\delta S}{\delta y(x, t)} & =-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y^{\prime}}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{y}}\right) \\
& =\tau \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial x^{2}}-\mu \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{7.84}
\end{align*}
$$

where $y^{\prime}=\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$ and $\dot{y}=\frac{\partial y}{\partial t}$. Thus, $\mu \ddot{y}=\tau y^{\prime \prime}$, which is the Helmholtz equation. We've assumed boundary conditions where $\delta y\left(x_{a}, t\right)=\delta y\left(x_{b}, t\right)=\delta y\left(x, t_{a}\right)=\delta y\left(x, t_{b}\right)=0$.

The Lagrangian density for an electromagnetic field with sources is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\frac{1}{16 \pi} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}-J_{\mu} A^{\mu} \tag{7.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations of motion are then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A^{\nu}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial^{\mu} A^{\nu}\right)}\right)=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial_{\mu} F^{\mu \nu}=4 \pi J^{\nu} \tag{7.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are Maxwell's equations.

Recall the result of Noether's theorem for mechanical systems:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\sigma}} \frac{\partial \tilde{q}_{\sigma}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{\zeta=0}=0 \tag{7.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{q}_{\sigma}=\tilde{q}_{\sigma}(q, \zeta)$ is a one-parameter $(\zeta)$ family of transformations of the generalized coordinates which leaves $L$ invariant. We generalize to field theory by replacing

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\sigma}(t) \longrightarrow \phi_{a}(\boldsymbol{x}, t), \tag{7.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\phi_{a}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)\right\}$ are a set of fields, which are functions of the independent variables $\{x, y, z, t\}$. We will adopt covariant relativistic notation and write for four-vector $x^{\mu}=(c t, x, y, z)$. The generalization of $d \Lambda / d t=0$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi_{a}\right)} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{a}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{\zeta=0}=0 \tag{7.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

where there is an implied sum on both $\mu$ and $a$. We can write this as $\partial_{\mu} J^{\mu}=0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.J^{\mu} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi_{a}\right)} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{a}}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\zeta=0} \tag{7.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call $\Lambda=J^{0} / c$ the total charge. If we assume $\boldsymbol{J}=0$ at the spatial boundaries of our system, then integrating the conservation law $\partial_{\mu} J^{\mu}$ over the spatial region $\Omega$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \Lambda}{d t}=\int_{\Omega} d^{3} x \partial_{0} J^{0}=-\int_{\Omega} d^{3} x \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}=-\oint_{\partial \Omega} d \Sigma \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}=0 \tag{7.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming $\boldsymbol{J}=0$ at the boundary $\partial \Omega$.
As an example, consider the case of a complex scalar field, with Lagrangian density ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(\psi,, \psi^{*}, \partial_{\mu} \psi, \partial_{\mu} \psi^{*}\right)=\frac{1}{2} K\left(\partial_{\mu} \psi^{*}\right)\left(\partial^{\mu} \psi\right)-U\left(\psi^{*} \psi\right) \tag{7.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is invariant under the transformation $\psi \rightarrow e^{i \zeta} \psi, \psi^{*} \rightarrow e^{-i \zeta} \psi^{*}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}}{\partial \zeta}=i e^{i \zeta} \psi \quad, \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}^{*}}{\partial \zeta}=-i e^{-i \zeta} \psi^{*} \tag{7.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, summing over both $\psi$ and $\psi^{*}$ fields, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
J^{\mu} & =\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \psi\right)} \cdot(i \psi)+\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \psi^{*}\right)} \cdot\left(-i \psi^{*}\right) \\
& =\frac{K}{2 i}\left(\psi^{*} \partial^{\mu} \psi-\psi \partial^{\mu} \psi^{*}\right) \tag{7.94}
\end{align*}
$$

The potential, which depends on $|\psi|^{2}$, is independent of $\zeta$. Hence, this form of conserved 4 -current is valid for an entire class of potentials.

[^2]
### 7.5.1 Gross-Pitaevskii Model

As one final example of a field theory, consider the Gross-Pitaevskii model, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=i \hbar \psi^{*} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \psi^{*} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \psi-g\left(|\psi|^{2}-n_{0}\right)^{2} \tag{7.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

This describes a Bose fluid with repulsive short-ranged interactions. Here $\psi(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ is again a complex scalar field, and $\psi^{*}$ is its complex conjugate. Using the Leibniz rule, we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\delta S\left[\psi^{*}, \psi\right]= & S\left[\psi^{*}+\delta \psi^{*}, \psi+\delta \psi\right] \\
= & \int d t \int d^{d} x\left\{i \hbar \psi^{*} \frac{\partial \delta \psi}{\partial t}+i \hbar \delta \psi^{*} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \psi^{*} \cdot \nabla \delta \psi-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \delta \psi^{*} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \psi\right. \\
& \left.-2 g\left(|\psi|^{2}-n_{0}\right)\left(\psi^{*} \delta \psi+\psi \delta \psi^{*}\right)\right\} \\
= & \int d t \int d^{d} x\{
\end{array}\left[-i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi^{*}}{\partial t}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \nabla^{2} \psi^{*}-2 g\left(|\psi|^{2}-n_{0}\right) \psi^{*}\right] \delta \psi\right\}
$$

where we have integrated by parts where necessary and discarded the boundary terms. Extremizing $S\left[\psi^{*}, \psi\right]$ therefore results in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE),

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \nabla^{2} \psi+2 g\left(|\psi|^{2}-n_{0}\right) \psi \tag{7.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as its complex conjugate,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi^{*}}{\partial t}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \nabla^{2} \psi^{*}+2 g\left(|\psi|^{2}-n_{0}\right) \psi^{*} \tag{7.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that these equations are indeed the Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\delta S}{\delta \psi} & =\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_{\mu} \psi}\right)  \tag{7.99}\\
\frac{\delta S}{\delta \psi^{*}} & =\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi^{*}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_{\mu} \psi^{*}}\right) \tag{7.100}
\end{align*}
$$

with $x^{\mu}=(t, \boldsymbol{x})^{4}$ Plugging in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi}=-2 g\left(|\psi|^{2}-n_{0}\right) \psi^{*} \quad, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_{t} \psi}=i \hbar \psi^{*} \quad, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\nabla} \psi}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \psi^{*} \tag{7.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]and
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi^{*}}=i \hbar \psi-2 g\left(|\psi|^{2}-n_{0}\right) \psi \quad, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_{t} \psi^{*}}=0 \quad, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\nabla} \psi^{*}}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \nabla \psi \tag{7.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

we recover the NLSE and its conjugate.
The Gross-Pitaevskii model also possesses a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ invariance, under

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)=e^{i \zeta} \psi(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \quad, \quad \psi^{*}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}^{*}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)=e^{-i \zeta} \psi^{*}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \tag{7.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the conserved Noether current is then

$$
\begin{align*}
J^{\mu} & =\left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_{\mu} \psi} \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\zeta=0}+\left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_{\mu} \psi^{*}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}^{*}}{\partial \zeta}\right|_{\zeta=0} \\
J^{0} & =-\hbar|\psi|^{2}  \tag{7.104}\\
\boldsymbol{J} & =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 i m}\left(\psi^{*} \nabla \psi-\psi \boldsymbol{\nabla} \psi^{*}\right) \tag{7.105}
\end{align*}
$$

Dividing out by $\hbar$, taking $J^{0} \equiv-\hbar \rho$ and $\boldsymbol{J} \equiv-\hbar \boldsymbol{j}$, we obtain the continuity equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}=0 \tag{7.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=|\psi|^{2} \quad, \quad \boldsymbol{j}=\frac{\hbar}{2 i m}\left(\psi^{*} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \psi-\psi \boldsymbol{\nabla} \psi^{*}\right) . \tag{7.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the particle density and the particle current, respectively.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Indeed, we should be demanding that $S$ only change by a function of the endpoint values.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ A homogeneous function of degree $k$ satisfies $f\left(\lambda x_{1}, \ldots, \lambda x_{n}\right)=\lambda^{k} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. It is then easy to prove Euler's theorem, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}=k f$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ We raise and lower indices using the Minkowski metric $g_{\mu \nu}=\operatorname{diag}(+,-,-,-)$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ In the nonrelativistic case, there is no utility in defining $x^{0}=c t$, so we simply define $x^{0}=t$

